## City of Annapolis



# Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

Local Fiscal Year 2016 (Federal Fiscal Year 2015)

Submitted to
The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
September 28, 2016

Prepared by:

Department of Planning and Zoning Community Development Division

### City of Annapolis

### Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report Fiscal Year 2016

### Mayor

Michael J. Pantelides

### City Council

Joe Budge, Ward One
Frederick M. Paone, Ward Two
Rhonda Pindell Charles, Ward Three
Sheila M. Finlayson, Ward Four
Jared Littmann, Ward Five
Kenneth A. Kirby, Ward Six
Ian Pfeiffer, Ward Seven
Ross H. Arnett, III, Ward Eight
Director, Department of Planning and Zoning

C. Peter Gutwald, AICP

For more information contact:

Department of Planning and Zoning Community Development Division 145 Gorman Street 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Annapolis, Maryland 21401 410-263-7961

# Housing and Community Development Committee FY 2016

Joe Budge, Ward One

Jared Littmann, Ward Five

Kenneth A. Kirby, Ward Six

Sandra Chapman, Housing Authority Designee

Theresa Von Adelung Bond

Kate Rollason

Jonette Hahn

Community Development Administrator

Theresa C. Wellman

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes	5
CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)	10
CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)	12
CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)	14
CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)	18
CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)	20
CR-55 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k), 91.320(i)-(j)	20
CR-40 - Monitoring 91 220 and 91 230	25

### **CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes**

### Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

The Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) is a U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) mandated report for all communities receiving Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funding. The CAPER reports the City of Annapolis' success in meeting the housing and community development goals and objectives contained in its Five-year Consolidated Housing and Community Development Plan and Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015 Annual Action Plan. The Consolidated Plan is a five-year planning document which: 1) identifies housing needs and problems; 2) analyzes market conditions and resources; 3) sets priorities and adopts strategies; 4) allocates resources; and, 5) contains an annual action plan.

The FFY 2015 Annual Action Plan identified the amount of CDBG funds the City expected to use, the activities that the City would fund, and the goals the City set for the number and type of households the City expected to assist from July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016. The following six (6) priorities and subsequent goals/strategies were identified for the City of Annapolis for the Consolidated Plan period of FFY 2015 through FFY 2019 for the CDBG Program. These priorities were based on a needs assessment, market analysis, and information gained through the public participation process. This is the first reporting year for the FFY 2015 – 2019 Consolidated Plan. The City of Annapolis expended a total of \$458,404 in CDBG FFY 2015 funds, prior year funds and program income for the following priorities:

- Increase the amount of decent, safe, sound, and accessible housing for homeowners, renters, and homebuyers that is affordable to low- and moderate-income persons and families by improving the quality of the existing housing stock and increasing the supply of affordable housing.
- 2. Provide housing and support services for homeless persons, and persons who are at-risk of becoming homeless.
- 3. Provide affordable housing, services, and facilities for persons with special needs and the disabled.
- 4. Improve the public and community facilities, infrastructure, public services, and the quality of life for all residents in the community.
- 5. Increase employment and economic empowerment of low and moderate-income residents in the community.
- 6. Implement planning, administration, management, oversight of federal, state, and locally funded programs, and promoting fair housing.

# Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected Strategic Plan	Actual Strategic Plan	% Complete	Expected Program Year	Actual Program Year	Percent Complete
	CDBG: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	15	8	53%	3	8	160%
	CDBG: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	61	0	0.00%	0	0	00.00%
HOUSING	CDBG: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	4	0	00.00%	0	0	0.00%
	CDBG: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	5	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
	CDBG: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	2	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
	CDBG \$	Fair Housing	Persons Assisted	100	24	24%	20	24	120%
	CDBG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	1000	143	14.3%	200	143	71.5%
HOMELESS	CDBG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	1000	290	29%	200	290	145%
	CDBG: \$	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	4	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
SPECIAL NEEDS	CDBG: \$	Public Facility	Household Housing Unit	15	4	26%	3	4	133%
	CDBG: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	1	0	0.00%	0.00%	1	0.00%
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities	Persons Assisted	2000	192	9.60%	588	192	32.65%
	CDBG: \$	Public service activities	Persons Assisted	5000	2160	43.20%	1752	2160	123.29%
EMPLOYMENT	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	25	8	32.00%	5	8	160.00%
ADMINISTRATION	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	0	0	0.00%	100	0	0.00%

Table 1 - Accomplishments - Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

#### **HOUSING**

The city was able to make significant progress in improving the quality of the existing housing stock and increasing the supply of affordable housing. Eight families received owner occupied housing rehabilitation services in FFY 2015.

To promote fair housing choice through education and outreach in the community, the City provided CDBG funds to the Baltimore Neighbor Initiative (BNI) to conduct a workshop on Fair Housing Law and assisted many city residents experiencing problems with these issues.

The City also provided technical support the local public housing authority. The city completes the Part 58 environmental review and provides technical assistance on housing redevelopment, funding resources and building maintenance.

#### **HOMELESSNESS**

The city was able to make significant progress in providing housing and support services for homeless persons, and persons who are at-risk of becoming homeless. The city was successful in securing \$116,000 in Emergency Solutions Grant funds from the state for essential services, HMIS and operation. The Light House Shelter provided shelter to 143 people in this program year. The Light House also provided homeless prevention services which included eviction assistance to 97 households, utility assistance to 113 households and pantry bag distribution to 2,411 families.

The Light House is repurposing its former downtown Annapolis shelter to construct the Light House Bistro project at 206 West St. The 206 West Street Project will create an advanced culinary training center for graduates of its culinary arts job training program. It includes a 50-seat bistro and a coffee bar open to the public, a home for B.E.S.T. Catering and four permanent supportive housing units. City CDBG funds have been committed to this project for the permanent supportive housing predevelopment costs. The project is now under construction and should be completed by late fall.

Samaritan House, a drug rehabilitation facility received funding for case management for 16 individuals. All residents in Samaritan House are homeless.

#### **SPECIAL NEEDS**

The city made good progress toward providing affordable housing, services, and facilities for persons with special needs and the disabled. Arundel Lodge used its CDGB funds to renovate four residential facilities that house 12 mentally ill individuals.

#### **COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT**

The city made significant progress to improve public and community facilities, infrastructure, and provide public services which improves the quality of life for all residents in the community. With respect to community facilities, the City completed the new door installation project the Stanton Community Center rehabilitation project. The Stanton Community Center, the first African America school in Annapolis, is on the National Historic Register of Historic Buildings and is located in a predominately low income neighborhood.

The Housing Authority of the City of Anna polis (HACA) used its CDBG funds to leverage \$28,000 from Baltimore Gas and Electric to replace all its street lights with safer more energy efficient lighting in the Eastport Terrace community which houses low and very low income 192 people.

The Center of Help, which provides information and referral services to the city's Hispanic community, served 1,877 individuals. OIC, a job skills program that provides GED and computer training served 92 individuals and Blessed in Tech and Restoration Community Development Corporation, both mentoring organizations, served 33 and 142 individuals respectively.

### **ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**

The city made progress in increase employment and economic empowerment of low and moderate-income residents in the community. The Newtowne Community Development Corporation assisted three new businesses and five existing businesses in FFY 2015.

### CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted). 91.520(a)

	CDBG
White	2,050
Black or African American	451
Asian	1
American Indian or American Native	1
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1
Total	2,504
Hispanic	1,941
Not Hispanic	563

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

### **Narrative**

In program year FFY 2015, 100% of individuals and families receiving assistance through the CDBG program were below 80% of area median income (AMI). Of the total persons served, 77% are Hispanic and 18% are Black or African American, 4% are White. The city has two organizations that serve the city's Hispanic population and both serve over 1,000 clients per year. The last census shows that the Hispanic population in Annapolis is 16.8%.

### CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

### Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG		814,979	142,401

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

#### Narrative

The City of Annapolis received a federal grant from the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for one of the three formula grant programs, the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program. The city does not receive HOME Investment Partnership Act (HOME) program funds or Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) program. For the program year (July 1, 2015 - June 30, 2016), Annapolis received \$248,644 in CDBG funds. The City also had available FFY 2014 \$128,917 in unexpended program income and \$14,413 in FFY 2015 Program Income.

Funds expended during the program year include reprogrammed prior year program income funds and funds awarded to activities in prior years that were not spent until the FFY 2015 program year. Several construction projects span the course of several program years with expenditures occurring over multiple years. As a result, funds expended do not equal funds received.

### Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
			Public Services, Housing and
Citywide	100	100	Community Facilities

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

### **Narrative**

The intent of Federal CDBG funds is to provide low and moderate-income households with viable communities, including decent housing, a suitable living environment, and expanded economic opportunities. Eligible activities include community facilities and improvements, housing rehabilitation and preservation, affordable housing development activities, public services, economic development, planning, and administration. The City of Annapolis has identified its priorities throughout the plan with regard to allocating investment geographically.

The City based its selection of projects on the following criteria:

- Meets the statutory requirements of the CDBG program
- Meets the needs of LMI residents
- Focuses on low and moderate-income areas or neighborhoods
- Coordinates and leverages resources

- Responds to expressed needs
- Is sustainable and/or has long-term impact; and
- Demonstrates measurable progress and success

### Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

To leverage additional funds from other sources and work toward meeting the goals and objectives of the City of Annapolis Consolidated Plan, the City works with many partners including the County government, the State, nonprofit organizations and other companies and agencies who are able to bring additional resources to desired projects and programs.

During Local Fiscal Year 2016, the City and its partners worked to increase the amount of funds obtained from other public and private sources. Efforts to secure additional funds are summarized below:

- The City successfully applied for in Emergency Solutions Grant funds from the Maryland Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) receiving \$116,654 for operating expenses, essential services and HMIS.
- The City received \$25,895 from DHCD's Rental Allowance Program to be used for homeless prevention.
- ACDS leveraged \$ 96,200 in state Maryland Housing Rehabilitation funds and Lead Paint funds for the City's Housing Rehabilitation Program.
- In Local Fiscal Year 2016, Anne Arundel County applied through the competitive application process. The County was awarded a total of \$2,175,878 in CoC funds. Specifically, the funds were awarded to eleven permanent supportive housing programs and three transitional housing programs for the homeless. The County plans to apply for CoC program funds through the competitive 2016 CoC NOFA in August 2016.
- The State awarded \$2.6 million in State funding and over \$750,000 in federal housing tax credits
  to Homes for America to rehabilitate Timothy House and Gardens. Both developments are
  located in the Clay Street neighborhood and consist of 60 one-bedroom apartments and 21
  three-bedroom townhouses. Construction costs to complete the extensive rehabilitation will be
  \$6.77 million. Additional funding will come from a loan from a private lender

### CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of homeless households to be	0	0
provided affordable housing units		
Number of non-homeless households to	0	0
be provided affordable housing units		
Number of special-needs households to	0	0
be provided affordable housing units		
Total		

**Table 5- Number of Households** 

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through		
The Production of New Units	0	0
Total	0	0

Table 6 - Number of Households Supported

### Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

The city's primary use of CDBG funds is for housing rehabilitation for low and moderate income households and has a goal of completing three units per year. This year the city completed eight units, thus exceeding its goal by over 100%.

### Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

These outcomes will have no effect on future annual action plans.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	0	0
Low-income	0	0
Moderate-income	0	0
Total	0	0

Table 7 - Number of Persons Served

### **Narrative Information**

The City is does not receive HOME funds.

# CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c) Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The Anne Arundel CoC has adopted a coordinated assessment system to streamline outreach procedures, thereby helping homeless persons to easily access programs. The County's Community Warmline, a 24 hour, seven day crisis hotline, is Anne Arundel County's coordinated entry point and is part of the County's Mental Health Agency Crisis Response System. The Warmline is marketed to the CoC members, County agencies, libraries, faith community, food pantries, and telephone operators of the 211 service system. The Warmline is also advertised on multiple County websites. Individuals least likely to access services can reach the Warmline by telephone. Depending on the situation, the Crisis Mobile Team must be sent to assess the situation. The initial screening process uses a Assessment and collects basic HMIS data. Individuals are referred to appropriate shelter and other services based on the assessment. Homeless persons can also enter through local shelters, DSS, and day programs. All programs use VI-SPDAT to assess needs of homeless and create a by-name vulnerability list. The CoC gives priority for shelter to homeless assessed as vulnerable and on the street or other place not fit for human habitation. The County's continuum for the homeless has several outreach teams including the Crisis Response Team and two Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) Programs. These teams target the homeless diagnosed with a mental illness. One ACT Program team is part of a newly funded mental health grant and specifically targets the chronically homeless. The ACT Program receives referrals from the police, hospitals, and shelters when a homeless person is in crisis. In addition, Arundel House of Hope (AHOH) and The Light House operate outreach services and provide case management, day shelter, meals, and links to mainstream resources. Additionally, the County in Local Fiscal Year 2016 funded a new homeless street outreach team which will be operated by the Anne Arundel County Department of Social Services. This new outreach team will be implemented in Local Fiscal Year 2017.

The CoC also hosts an annual Homeless Resource Day which allows service providers to successfully reach out to the homeless and those at-risk of homelessness. This event is well publicized to the entire community. The Homeless Resource Day, offering comprehensive services, allows service providers to offer their services in a concentrated manner on one day, as well as to set up appointments for follow-up visits. In Local Fiscal Year 2016, 341 guests were served at Homeless Resource Day including 281 adults and 60 children.

### Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

Emergency Shelter – Anne Arundel County has 53 year round and 85 seasonal emergency shelter beds for individuals, and 99 year round emergency beds for families. Typically, emergency shelters provide temporary housing for up to 90 days, along with three meals, case management, life skills, training, housing search assistance and other support services. The rotating church shelter, called the Winter Relief Program, provides transportation, meals, and temporary housing between the hours of 5:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. from November through April. An additional 54 freezing weather beds are provided by local shelters and the City of Annapolis. There are a total of 88 year round, seasonal, or overflow freezing weather beds available for chronically homeless persons in the County. There is generally a shortage of emergency shelter for both families and individuals in the County, especially during the summer months when the rotating shelter and freezing weather beds are unavailable.

In Local Fiscal Year 2016, Sarah's House, operated by Associated Catholic Charities, Inc., expended \$84,637 in Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) funds from the County and served 531 persons at Sarah's House Family Emergency Shelter. Additionally, the LightHouse Shelter in the City of Annapolis provided shelter to 143 homeless persons and Arundel House of Hope served a total of 373 individuals in the Winter Relief Shelter during the fiscal year. Finally, the YWCA operates a shelter for victims of domestic violence and continued to provide shelter and services to families and individuals.

Transitional Housing – The County has 16 transitional housing beds for individuals and a maximum of 77 transitional housing beds for families (a total of 24 units). Transitional housing provides temporary housing and intensive supportive services for up to two years. The purpose of a transitional housing program is to help families and individuals gain the skills to be successful once they move to permanent housing. In Local Fiscal Year 2016, three transitional housing programs were funded with federally awarded CoC funds. The Light House, Inc. expended \$35,300 to continue to operate the Anchor House Transitional Housing Program serving 15 persons. Sarah's House Transitional Housing Program expended \$323,698 and served 35 homeless families. Arundel House of Hope (AHOH) expended \$128,115 and served 20 homeless men. AHOH also continued to operate a trnaitional housing program for veterans, the Patriot House, and served nine homeless veterans during the fiscal year.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

One of the County's key strategies for ending homelessness is to prevent individuals from becoming homeless in the first place. A number of County agencies assist households in avoiding homelessness by providing financial assistance to prevent eviction, as well as to help with preventing the disconnection of utilities. The County uses CDBG, FEMA, State, and private funds to provide this assistance. Additionally, the United Way has funded a prevention and homeless diversion program.

In Local Fiscal Year 2016, \$25,000 in CDBG funds was expended by the Anne Arundel County Community Action Agency and \$23,232.87in CDBG funds was expended by the Calvary Economic Development Corporation to provide eviction prevention, utility turn-off and help with first month's rent. A combined total of 230 households were prevented from becoming homeless this fiscal year. Other programs, such as the Light House, helping to prevent evictions for 97 households and assisting with utility assistance for 113 households.

The County has developed discharge plans and strategies, depending on the population being served, to prevent individuals being discharged from a publicly funded institution – such as foster care, hospitals, mental health programs, and or jail – from becoming homeless. The Department of Social Services (DSS) is responsible for implementing discharge planning for children in foster care. The County's goal is to make sure every child has a permanent supportive connection before they age out of care.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

The County is committed to ending chronic homelessness and has embraced the Housing First Model as its strategy for ending chronic homelessness. As part of the development of the County's Coordinated Assessment process, the County adopted VI-SPDAT as its assessment tool and evaluates and ranks each homeless person based on a number of risk factors and generates a centralized list of most vulnerable, chronically homeless. Those who are ranked as the most vulnerable are given priority for all of the County's HUD funded permanent supportive housing programs and other services. The list serves as the coordinated waitlist for all HUD funded permanent housing programs in the County.

The County uses a rapid re-housing strategy for homeless families and expended \$84,514 in ESG funding for a rapid re-housing program. The program served 32 households this year. The County also began implementing a new HOME and County funds for a tenant based rental assistance program to house chronically homeless families. The United Way of Central Maryland has funded a prevention and diversion program, including a rapid re-housing strategy for families in the County. The County's family shelters also work to help families increase their incomes so that they can find housing.

The County also expended a total of \$1,712,696 in CoC funds and provided 149 household permanent supportive housing targeted to chronically homeless through the following housing programs.

- WISH Program AHOH expended \$57,870 in CoC funds providing eight chronically homeless women with permanent supportive housing.
- Safe Haven I AHOH expended \$59,268 in CoC funds providing four chronically homeless men with permanent supportive housing.
- Safe Haven II AHOH expended \$57,783 in CoC funds providing five chronically homeless men with permanent supportive housing.
- Community Housing Program AHOH expended \$53,888 in CoC funds providing seven chronically homeless men and women with permanent supportive housing.
- Housing First I People Encouraging People, Inc. (PEP) expended \$50,652 in CoC funds and provided tenant based rental assistance and intensive case management services to five chronically homeless persons.
- Housing First II People Encouraging People, Inc. (PEP) expended \$113,760 in CoC funds and provided tenant based rental assistance and intensive case management services to nine chronically homeless persons
- SHOP Program The Anne Arundel Mental Health Agency expended \$167,918 in CoC funds and provided tenant based rental assistance for eight homeless households
- Samaritan Housing Program The Mental Health Agency expended \$58,393 in CoC funds and provide five tenant based rental subsidies to chronically homeless individuals.
- Shelter Plus Care The Maryland Mental Hygiene Administration expended \$382,938 in CoC funds and provided tenant based rental assistance to 32 homeless households.
- Permanent Supportive Housing Program The Housing Commission of Anne Arundel County expended \$373,366 to provide rental assistance to 28 chronically homeless households.
- Anne Arundel Partnership for Permanent Housing Program ACDS expended \$336,658 CoC

funds to administer a permanent housing program, which is operated through a partnership between the Housing Commission, AHOH and Community Residences, Inc. The Program served participants who are chronically homeless and provides intensive case management and supportive services to 37 households, including children.

### CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j) Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

Using the Capital Fund Program funding, from 7/1/2015 thru 6/30/2016, the Housing Authority of the City of Annapolis (HACA) completed the following:

- Agency-Wide site improvement: sidewalks, hallways, trees, and shrubs
- Agency-Wide Vacant Unit Renovation
- Boiler repair at the Morris Blum Senior Apartments
- Elevator repair
   Roof and siding repair at Robinwood and Eastport

The last and final Phase III of Obery/ College Creek was completed. The development has 61 rental units, consisting of 30 public housing units, 21 Tax Credit units and 10 Project Based voucher units. Obery/ College Creek was awarded \$7.8M in Low Income Housing Tax Credits for FFY 2015, by Maryland's Community Development Administration.

The City of Annapolis is now including HACA's 790 rental units in its annual inspections of rental properties in an effort to help HACA keep its properties in standard condition according to the city code. City staff and HACA staff inspected all 790 units and is working with HACA staff to identify resources to help meet these city code requirements.

The Mayor worked closely with HACA to get the Newtowne 20, a 78 unit development, basketball court back up and running. The city provided new asphalt for the court which is now being used by neighborhood youth and adults.

HACA strives to maintain high quality management and operation of its public housing programs and units. HACA uses various HUD-devised regulations and manuals in implementing its management and maintenance policies including Admissions and Continued Occupancy Handbook, PH Maintenance Plan Document, FSS Action Plan, Public Housing Assessment System Manual, Section 8 Administrative Plan, Housing Inspection Manual, Section 8 and Voucher Program Master Book, HUD Handbook 7420.7 and industry best practices.

### Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

HACA hired 31 staff members during the fiscal year July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016. Among those, 20 were from Annapolis including eight (8) public housing residents. Some positions were temporary/ seasonal jobs for summer camp, pool, and food services. Other positions (including full-time, part-time, and temporary) were in Housing Management, Maintenance, Office Administration, Youth Services, Finance, and Safety.

HACA has resident councils at each of its developments in the City. The resident councils continued to offer input on management operations, modernization needs, family self-sufficiency programs, and the homeownership program through formal public hearings and during formation of its annual Agency Plan.

The City of Annapolis Mayor's Office established the Martha Wood Leadership Award. HACA and the City of Annapolis present the Martha Wood Leadership Award monthly to an individual whose actions clearly point to the recipient's desire to make our communities and our residents better. A committee nominates and selects individuals or groups monthly who have made a difference in the quality of life for residents of the public housing community. The Mayor, Alderman of the Ward and representatives of the HACA administration present a Mayoral Citation monthly at the City Council meeting. HACA has approximately 647 youth under 16 years of age residing in public housing. This group needs positive role models interacting with them in the various communities. Therefore, the City made a major commitment to HACA concerning youth recreation in both the Pip Moyer Recreation Center and the Stanton Community Center, which is in the Clay Street neighborhood. The City Department Recreation and Parks provide youth programs at the city recreation center. The center offers a variety of athletic programs, as well.

HACA administers four Resident Opportunity Self-Sufficiency Grants. Two of the grants are in partnership with Robinwood and Morris H. Blum Resident Councils. The third grant supports Eastport and Harbour House and the fourth grant focus is a Family Self- Sufficiency (FSS) Grant for Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher families. These grants promote HACA's Pathways to Opportunities Family Self Sufficiency (PTO-FSS) initiative working with national, federal, state, county, and local service provides. Under PTO-FSS, HACA coordinates supportive services to include computer training, after-school programming, GED, college scholarships, homeownership, skills-development, health, and human services.

The following are HACA's homeownership activities for FFY 2015:

- HACA held a homeownership workshop at the Rosalie Mitchell Community Center. Staff from ACDS was the speaker and information about, the Maryland Mortgage Program, MPDU Boucher Place, Down payment Assistance Programs, Section 8 Homeownership Program, and the First Time Housing Counseling Programs was shared.
- HACA held a homeownership workshop at the Rosalie Mitchell Community Center. Speakers were staff from, ACDS, Wells Fargo at Work; Max Score Credit; The Money Store; and Berkshire Hathway Home Service Pen Fed Realty.
- Monthly meetings are scheduled to recruit members to enroll in HACA's Family Self Sufficiency (FSS) Program. Members sign a 5 year contract and work towards becoming self sufficient.
   During the 5 year term as families earned income increases a portion is put aside in an escrow account and at the end of the 5 year term if the goals are met the family will receive the money in the escrow account.

### Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

N/A

### CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

Barriers to affordable housing may include bureaucratic procedures, codes and standards, zoning or landuse controls, lack of land and financial resources, lack of coordination, and scattered growth that drives up infrastructure and housing costs.

Zoning practices may have the intended or unintended effect of increasing housing costs, and effectively excluding prospective households from locating affordable housing for purchase or rent. Zoning can be used as a positive tool in support of cost effective and efficient design, if housing affordability is considered as a part of the jurisdiction's policy.

The City's land prices and construction costs continue to be a barrier. In FY 2004, the City passed inclusionary zoning legislation, which requires developers of more than 10 rental or for-sale units to provide affordable housing units to households of with income up to 100% of MHI. So far, the City has added 18 new rental units to its inventory since the inception of the program. All of the current tenants have incomes below 80% MHI. In addition, five of the ten available for sale units were sold to moderate and workforce income individuals.

The City established a Homeownership Assistance Trust Fund from payments in lieu of providing MPDUs to provide homeownership opportunities to eligible persons or households. The fund is administered by the Department of Planning and Zoning are only available for use by government agencies who may contract with nonprofit developers;

### Funds may be used to:

- Purchase land and or buildings for other affordable housing that would be provided to persons that meet the eligibility criteria for purchasing or renting MPDUs;
- Provide settlement expense, down payment and mortgage write down assistance to eligible persons or households;
- Construct for sale housing units;
- Purchase and or rehabilitate rental housing for conversion to homeownership;
- Rehabilitate residential units including owner occupied residential units;
- Provide funds to match other State or Federal homeownership programs;
- Provide funds for administrative costs; or
- Contract with nonprofit developers for development of housing units for sale to eligible low or moderate income buyers.

### Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Unfortunately, local resources are insufficient to address all the City=s housing needs. The City has been supporting housing rehabilitation for extremely low and low-income homeowners for more than 30 years. Since 1998, the City has been providing homeownership opportunities by collaborating with local nonprofit housing developers and has supported new lease purchase housing. In addition to this

assistance, the City implemented its MPDU legislation to provide more affordable rental and for-sale housing for low and moderate-income residents. Moreover, the City continued to leverage federal and State funds to serve its low and moderate-income households.

Despite its efforts, the Citys' housing needs will continue to outstrip its resources. Thus, Annapolis continues to develop partnerships and seek additional sources of funds to increase rehabilitation services and homeownership opportunities and, continue to provide accessibility improvements, housing counseling, down payment, and closing cost assistance to its citizens.

### Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The city of Annapolis carried out activities to reduce the number of lead-based paint hazards in the city through the city's Owner Occupied Housing Rehabilitation Program. The Housing Rehabilitation Program is administered by ACDS. The city and ACDS adhered to the following lead based paint hazard reduction policies and procedures:

The guidelines for the city's Housing Rehabilitation Program comply with the lead based paint hazards at 24 CFR Part 35. ACDS staff test for lead contamination and include the containment of lead paint in all housing rehabilitation activities. Lead paint hazard reduction is an eligible rehabilitation activity funded by the Housing Rehabilitation Program.

- ACDS has a certified firm for testing, risk assessment, and clearance. All contractors are lead based paint abatement certified.
- ACDS staff includes information regarding lead paint contamination in all its community outreach efforts.
- The Anne Arundel County Health Department keeps current with changing regulations and keeps updated lists of firms certified in testing and abatement of lead-based paint hazards.

### Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

According to the 2007-2011 American Community Survey, approximately 10.7% of Annapolis' residents live in poverty. Female-headed households with children are particularly affected by poverty at 33.4%. Additionally, 19.4% of city residents under the age of 18 live in poverty.

To address poverty, the city worked with the County to pursue resources and innovative partnerships to support the development of affordable housing, rental assistance, homelessness prevention, emergency food and shelter, health care, children's services, job training and employment development, and transportation.

The city carried out the following economic development and anti-poverty programs in FFY 2015:

- Blessed in Tech
- Center of Help Referral and Information
- Light House Shelter Case Management
- OIC Job Preparation Training
- Restoration Community Development Corporation Mentoring Project
- Samaritan House Counseling
- Housing Authority Facility Rehabilitation

- Light House Permanent Housing
- Newtowne CDC Microenterprise
- Housing Rehabilitation Owner Occupied Rehabilitation
- Housing Rehabilitation Administration
- Baltimore Neighborhoods Fair Housing

### Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The Department of Planning and Zoning Community Development Division is the lead agency for the City's CDBG Program. The Department received guidance from the City's Housing and Community Development Committee to administer the CDBG grant. The Department of Planning and Zoning also applied for and administered other funding sources, ensuring efficient integration and administration of all resources. The Department was responsible for the following:

- Program management and oversight
- Inter-Department/Agency Coordination
- Subrecipient contract administration and monitoring
- Program evaluation
- Report preparation and submission
- Public education and participation
- Special project development
- Consolidated Plan preparation, monitoring, and evaluation
- Housing programs
- Community Revitalization Initiatives
- Planning for development, redevelopment, community development and historic preservation
- Administration of the City's zoning ordinance and subdivision regulations including the Moderately Priced Dwelling Units Ordinance

Other City agencies that participated with housing, community development, and economic development in Annapolis were:

- Department of Neighborhood and Environmental Programs Manages code enforcement and environmental oversight.
- Department of Recreation and Parks The Stanton Community Center is located in the Clay Street neighborhood offers community service programs.
- Housing and Community Development Committee The Committee oversees all the housing and community development activities in the City. The Committee has seven members, including the members of the Aldermanic Housing and Human Welfare Committee, the Chair of the Housing Authority or his/her designee, and three residents who have a demonstrated interest in advancing housing and community development activities in the City. These members recommend all CDBG projects to the City Council before they are included in the annual Action Plan.
- Anne Arundel County The County provides and shares resources and programs with the City.
   Various human services providers, including the Anne Arundel County Continuum of Care,
   Departments of Social Services, Aging and Disabilities, Health and the Partnership for Children,
   Youth, and Families, coordinate community development and supportive service programs with the City.

The City will continue to support the coordinated housing and community approach through the following goal and projects:

- Overall Coordination Provide program management and oversight for the successful administration of Federal, state, and local funded programs, including planning services for special studies, environmental clearance, fair housing, and compliance with all Federal, state, and local laws and regulations.
- Housing Rehabilitation Administration
- Baltimore Neighborhoods Fair Housing

### Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The Community Development Division continued to act as a coordinator between housing and social service activities provided by the City and activities provided by HACA, the Continuum of Care, private and non-profit housing providers, and social service agencies to address the housing and community development needs of the City. The Division accomplished this coordination by continuing to attend various public and private housing and social service agencies meetings and conference calls, participating in planning correspondence, and providing technical assistance for funding match options and program compliance.

### Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

The Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice has identified the following impediments, along with the goals and strategies to address those impediments.

Impediment 1: FAIR HOUSING EDUCATION AND OUTREACH

#### Activities

Baltimore Neighborhood Initiative (BNI) presented a workshop in Annapolis entitled "Fair Housing Matters - Annapolis Seminar" to promote Fair Housing awareness by providing educational awareness/opportunities for all persons to learn more about their rights and requirements under the Fair Housing Act and Americans with Disabilities Act.

The City improved the information on the website about whom to contact and how to file a fair housing complaint, as well as general Fair Housing information for homeowners and renters.

The City continued to support the efforts of the city's Human Relations Commission. The Commission chair made a presentation about the City's fair housing ordinance, with emphasis on the provision outlawing discrimination based on source of income, at an annual meeting which the Housing Authority of the City of Annapolis holds with area landlords. Several of the landlords present said that they had been asked by realtors whether they accepted tenants offering Section 8 housing vouchers. This is illegal in the City. Further actions need to be taken to educate realtors about the City ordinance.

The Commission worked with Alderman and the City Office of Law to amend the fair housing ordinance

of the City of Annapolis to include transgender and bisexual persons as a protected class. That brought the ordinance into consistency with Maryland law.

The Commission received and handled two complaints. The first involved a landlord/tenant issue that did not involve discrimination on the basis of one of the protected classes in City Code. The Commission encouraged the complainant to contact the "hot line" of Baltimore Neighborhoods, Inc., which has expertise in this area. The second complaint stemmed from an incident in which a disabled individual was not accommodated with respect to the kneeling and ramp feature on Annapolis Transit buses. The chair of the Complaints Committee arranged for a conversation between the complainant and the director of the Department of Transportation.

Impediment 2: PUBLIC POLICIES AND REGULATIONS

#### **Activities**

The Planning and Zoning Department began work on Revising the definitions and adding new definitions for the words: "Family," Handicap (Disabled)," "Fair Housing Act," "Accessibility," "Visitability," etc.

Impediment 3: CONTINUING NEED FOR AFFORDABLE AND ACCESSIBLE HOUSING UNITS

The City supported the rehabilitation of existing housing units in the City to become decent, safe, and sound renter occupied and owner occupied housing that is affordable and accessible to lower income households by rehabilitating eight owner occupied homes and supporting Homes for America's funding application for the rehabilitation of 81 project-based Section 8 rental units.

The City is working with HACA to help them to construct affordable rental housing utilizing Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC) and other financing tools through federal, state, and local units of government.

The City continued to enforce the ADA and Fair Housing requirements for landlords to make "reasonable accommodations" to their rental properties so they become accessible to tenants who are disabled, as well as educating the disabled how to request special accommodations.

The City also participates in the Anne Arundel County Affordable Housing Coalition, which is an advocacy group for affordable housing in the County.

### CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

The City of Annapolis conducted annual monitoring reviews to determine whether its programs were in accordance with its Consolidated Plan. The Department of Planning and Zoning completes the reviews, as this department is responsible for the administration of grant funds.

### Monitoring of Housing Programs:

- The Community Development Division reviewed all of quarterly reports submitted by the housing agencies and organizations.
- The Community Development Division reviewed and approved bills before payment.
- The Community Development Division assessed the program's progress.

### Monitoring of Community Development Programs:

- The Community Development Division reviewed and approved bills before payment.
- The Community Development Division met and discussed figures and goals of the programs with other Department Heads and Administration.
- The Community Development Division conducted an annual assessment of the programs' progress.

The Community Development Division works closely with the Mayor's Office Small/Minority Business Enterprise (SMBE) Program to create an environment that stimulates greater procurement participation from small and minority owned businesses.

The SMBE Office performs a variety of functions to fulfill its mission of providing equal opportunity. One of its primary functions is to prepare a steady pipeline of MWBE firms who are ready and willing to do business with the City while maintaining positive relationships with firms currently involved in public contracting. During the year, the SMBE Coordinator reaches out to diverse networks via outreach events, conferences, and workshops throughout the city and region. The Program also participates in two signature events each year as a welcome mat to engage with local businesses. As awareness of diversity and its significant economic value has increased, the SMBE Coordinator has also stepped into the role of diversity advisor, sitting on various workshops to provide the diverse business perspective. In conjunction with this role, the Coordinator has served as presenter and instructor, teaching on MWBE principles and best practices.

## Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d) Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

Notice of the availability of the draft Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER)

was published on September 5, 2016 in the Capital Gazette newspaper. The draft CAPER was made available for review and public comment at the City of Annapolis Planning and Zoning Department, the Housing Authority Main Office, the Anne Arundel Public Library on West Street and the City's webpage. A 15-day comment period was provided as indicated in the attached announcement. *CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)* 

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

The City did not change any program objectives in FY 2015.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

N/A

### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

### September 5, 2016

NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY OF THE CITY OF ANNAPOLIS CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE & EVALUATION REPORT FOR THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM (CDBG) FOR LOCAL FY 2016

In accordance with Title 1 of the National Affordable Housing Act, The City of Annapolis, has prepared its FY 2016 (July 1, 2015 - June 30, 2016) Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report governing its performance applicable to the Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG) and progress in meeting consolidated plan priority needs and objectives. Copies of the report are available for inspection at the Department of Planning and Zoning, 145 Gorman Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> floor, Annapolis, MD 21401 between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., the Anne Arundel County Library at 1410 West Street, Annapolis, MD 21401, the Housing Authority of the City of Annapolis at 1217 Madison St., Annapolis MD 21403 and on the city website at http://www.annapolis.gov/government/city-departments/planning-and-zoning/community-development/cdbg-program

The City intends to submit the Fiscal Year 2016 report to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development on September 28, 2016. Interested persons are encouraged to review and comment on the report. The City will accept written comments until September 23, 2016. Please send comments to the Department of Planning and Zoning. The City will evaluate and incorporate the written comments into the final document as appropriate. Individuals who want to review the report in an accessible format should call the Community Development Administrator at 410-263-7961 x 7798 or Telephone for the Hearing Impaired at Maryland Relay service (711).

Theresa C. Wellman

Community Development Administrator

Department of Planning and Zoning



# Office of Community Planning and Development U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Integrated Disbursement and Information System PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report

DATE: TIME: PAGE: 08-31-16 16:39

1

Program Year 2015 ANNAPOLIS , MD

PART I: SUMMARY OF CDBG RESOURCES	142 107 20
01 UNEXPENDED CDBG FUNDS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	143,187.28
02 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	248,644.00
03 SURPLUS URBAN RENEWAL 04 SECTION 108 GUARANTEED LOAN FUNDS	0.00 0.00
04 SECTION 108 GUARANTEED LOAN FUNDS 05 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	14,413.66
05 CURRENT TEAR PROGRAM INCOME (FOR SI TYPE)	0.00
06 FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LINE-OF-CREDIT	0.00
06a FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LOCAL CDBG ACCOUNT	0.00
07 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AVAILABLE	0.00
08 TOTAL AVAILABLE (SUM, LINES 01-07)	406,244.94
PART II: SUMMARY OF CDBG EXPENDITURES	
09 DISBURSEMENTS OTHER THAN SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS AND PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	458,404.92
10 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT	0.00
11 AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT (LINE 09 + LINE 10)	458,404.92
12 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	24,733.50
13 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS	0.00
14 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL EXPENDITURES	0.00
15 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (SUM, LINES 11-14)	483,138.42
16 UNEXPENDED BALANCE (LINE 08 - LINE 15)	(76,893.48)
PART III: LOWMOD BENEFIT THIS REPORTING PERIOD	
17 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD HOUSING IN SPECIAL AREAS	0.00
18 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD MULTI-UNIT HOUSING	0.00
19 DISBURSED FOR OTHER LOW/MOD ACTIVITIES	434,808.87
20 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT	0.00
21 TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT (SUM, LINES 17-20)	434,808.87
22 PERCENT LOW/MOD CREDIT (LINE 21/LINE 11)	94.85%
LOW/MOD BENEFIT FOR MULTI-YEAR CERTIFICATIONS	
23 PROGRAM YEARS(PY) COVERED IN CERTIFICATION	PY: PY: PY:
24 CUMULATIVE NET EXPENDITURES SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT CALCULATION	0.00
25 CUMULATIVE EXPENDITURES BENEFITING LOW/MOD PERSONS	0.00
26 PERCENT BENEFIT TO LOW/MOD PERSONS (LINE 25/LINE 24)	0.00%
PART IV: PUBLIC SERVICE (PS) CAP CALCULATIONS	
27 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PUBLIC SERVICES	57,052.44
28 PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR	418.44
29 PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
30 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS	0.00
31 TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS (LINE 27 + LINE 28 - LINE 29 + LINE 30)	57,470.88
32 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	248,644.00
33 PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM INCOME 34 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP	128,917.66
35 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP (SUM, LINES 32-34)	0.00
36 PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PS ACTIVITIES (LINE 31/LINE 35)	377,561.66 15.22%
PART V: PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATION (PA) CAP	15.2270
37 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	24,733.50
38 PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
39 PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
40 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS	0.00
41 TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS (LINE 37 + LINE 38 - LINE 39 +LINE 40)	24,733.50
42 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	248,644.00
43 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	14,413.66
44 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP	0.00
45 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP (SUM, LINES 42-44)	263,057.66
46 PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PA ACTIVITIES (LINE 41/LINE 45)	9.40%



Plan Year

IDIS Project

# Office of Community Planning and Development U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Integrated Disbursement and Information System

08-31-16

16:39

2

DATE:

TIME:

PAGE:

Matrix

National

PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report

Program Year 2015 ANNAPOLIS, MD

### LINE 17 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE 17 Report returned no data.

### LINE 18 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE 18

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2015	12	1348	Light House - 206 West Street	14B	LMH	\$23,596.05
				14B	Matrix Code	\$23,596.05
Total					_	\$23,596.05

#### LINE 19 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 19

**Activity Name** 

IDIS Activity Voucher

Pian Year	TDIS Project	TDIS ACTIVITY	Number	Activity Name	Code	Objective	Drawn Amount
2015	8	1340	5916498	ARC - Accessibility Ramp	03	LMC	\$7,770.00
2015	9	1341	5887688	Arundel Lodge - Residential Facilities Improvements	03	LMC	\$8,320.30
2015	9	1341	5906089	Arundel Lodge - Residential Facilities Improvements	03	LMC	\$10,679.70
2015	11	1346	5862584	HACA - Eastport Terrace Steet Lights	03	LMC	\$8,333.00
					03	Matrix Code	\$35,103.00
2010	12	1298	5916498	Stanton Center Facilities Improvements	03E	LMC	\$47,352.48
2010	12	1298	5938723	Stanton Center Facilities Improvements	03E	LMC	\$1,540.00
					03E	Matrix Code	\$48,892.48
2014	7	1334	5834045	OHLA - Information and Referral	05	LMC	\$418.44
2015	2	1337	5862584	Center of Help/Assistance/Information/Referral/Outreach	05	LMC	\$2,625.00
2015	2	1337	5887688	Center of Help/Assistance/Information/Referral/Outreach	05	LMC	\$2,625.00
2015	2	1337	5920524	Center of Help/Assistance/Information/Referral/Outreach	05	LMC	\$2,625.00
2015	2	1337	5946106	Center of Help/Assistance/Information/Referral/Outreach	05	LMC	\$8,069.00
2015	3	1347	5862584	Light House - Case Management	05	LMC	\$6,500.00
2015	3	1347	5949660	Light House - Case Management	05	LMC	\$3,370.00
2015	7	1344	5906089	Blessed in Tech - Mentoring	05	LMC	\$496.37
2015	7	1344	5916498	Blessed in Tech - Mentoring	05	LMC	\$782.91
2015	7	1344	5938723	Blessed in Tech - Mentoring	05	LMC	\$1,357.58
2015	7	1344	5946106	Blessed in Tech - Mentoring	05	LMC	\$400.14
					05	Matrix Code	\$29,269.44
2015	5	1351	5874779	RCDC - Youth Health Works Program	05D	LMC	\$1,325.00
2015	5	1351	5906206	RCDC - Youth Health Works Program	05D	LMC	\$1,325.00
2015	5	1351	5938723	RCDC - Youth Health Works Program	05D	LMC	\$2,699.00
2015	5	1351	5949660	RCDC - Youth Health Works Program	05D	LMC	\$2,699.00
					05D	Matrix Code	\$8,048.00
2015	6	1352	5887688	Samaritan House - Case Management	05F	LMC	\$999.25
2015	6	1352	5938723	Samaritan House - Case Management	05F	LMC	\$3,379.80
2015	6	1352	5949660	Samaritan House - Case Management	05F	LMC	\$1,689.95
					05F	Matrix Code	\$6,069.00
2015	4	1350	5862584	OIC - Job Readiness	05H	LMC	\$1,555.21
2015	4	1350	5874779	OIC - Job Readiness	05H	LMC	\$1,037.44
2015	4	1350	5887688	OIC - Job Readiness	05H	LMC	\$1,200.00
2015	4	1350	5906089	OIC - Job Readiness	05H	LMC	\$1,200.00
2015	4	1350	5906206	OIC - Job Readiness	05H	LMC	\$1,200.00
2015	4	1350	5916498	OIC - Job Readiness	05H	LMC	\$1,731.63
2015	4	1350	5920524	OIC - Job Readiness	05H	LMC	\$1,521.08
2015	4	1350	5938723	OIC - Job Readiness	05H	LMC	\$1,585.00
2015	4	1350	5949660	OIC - Job Readiness	05H	LMC	\$2,635.64
					05H	Matrix Code	\$13,666.00
2011	14	1292	5862584	Homeowner Rehabilitation	14A	LMH	\$3,580.28
2012	1	1305	5862584	Rehabilitation Construction	14A	LMH	\$32,789.37
2012	1	1305	5874779	Rehabilitation Construction	14A	LMH	\$7,396.50



### Office of Community Planning and Development U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Integrated Disbursement and Information System

DATE:

TIME:

PAGE:

08-31-16

16:39

3

PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report

Program Year 2015 ANNAPOLIS , MD

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2012	1	1305	5907886	Rehabilitation Construction	14A	LMH	\$27,404.74
2013	1	1315	5862584	Single Family Rehabilitation - Construction	14A	LMH	\$1,836.72
2013	1	1315	5907886	Single Family Rehabilitation - Construction	14A	LMH	\$64,154.82
2013	1	1315	5946106	Single Family Rehabilitation - Construction	14A	LMH	\$12,260.00
2014	1	1328	5946106	Property Rehabilitation - Construction	14A	LMH	\$44,015.29
2014	1	1328	5949660	Property Rehabilitation - Construction	14A	LMH	\$286.71
2015	14	1338	5949660	Arundel Community Development Services - Construction	14A	LMH	\$1,331.56
					14A	Matrix Code	\$195,055.99
2013	2	1316	5862584	Rehabilitation Advisory Services	14H	LMH	\$2,710.72
2014	2	1329	5862584	ACDS Property Rehabilitation Advisory Services	14H	LMH	\$7,747.19
2014	2	1329	5874779	ACDS Property Rehabilitation Advisory Services	14H	LMH	\$9,997.57
2014	2	1329	5907886	ACDS Property Rehabilitation Advisory Services	14H	LMH	\$32,137.68
2014	2	1329	5920524	ACDS Property Rehabilitation Advisory Services	14H	LMH	\$8.10
2015	15	1339	5920524	Arundle Community Development Services- Advisory Services	14H	LMH	\$19,702.00
2015	15	1339	5921189	Arundle Community Development Services- Advisory Services	14H	LMH	\$0.54
2015	15	1339	5949660	Arundle Community Development Services- Advisory Services	14H	LMH	\$23,409.16
					14H	Matrix Code	\$95,712.96
2015	13	1349	5887688	Newtowne CDC - Microenterprise	18C	LMCMC	\$576.00
2015	13	1349	5906089	Newtowne CDC - Microenterprise	18C	LMCMC	\$920.00
2015	13	1349	5949660	Newtowne CDC - Microenterprise	18C	LMCMC	\$1,496.00
					18C	Matrix Code	\$2,992.00
Total						_	\$434,808.87

### LINE 27 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 27

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2014	7	1334	5834045	OHLA - Information and Referral	05	LMC	\$418.44
2015	2	1337	5862584	Center of Help/Assistance/Information/Referral/Outreach	05	LMC	\$2,625.00
2015	2	1337	5887688	Center of Help/Assistance/Information/Referral/Outreach	05	LMC	\$2,625.00
2015	2	1337	5920524	Center of Help/Assistance/Information/Referral/Outreach	05	LMC	\$2,625.00
2015	2	1337	5946106	Center of Help/Assistance/Information/Referral/Outreach	05	LMC	\$8,069.00
2015	3	1347	5862584	Light House - Case Management	05	LMC	\$6,500.00
2015	3	1347	5949660	Light House - Case Management	05	LMC	\$3,370.00
2015	7	1344	5906089	Blessed in Tech - Mentoring	05	LMC	\$496.37
2015	7	1344	5916498	Blessed in Tech - Mentoring	05	LMC	\$782.91
2015	7	1344	5938723	Blessed in Tech - Mentoring	05	LMC	\$1,357.58
2015	7	1344	5946106	Blessed in Tech - Mentoring	05	LMC	\$400.14
					05	Matrix Code	\$29,269.44
2015	5	1351	5874779	RCDC - Youth Health Works Program	05D	LMC	\$1,325.00
2015	5	1351	5906206	RCDC - Youth Health Works Program	05D	LMC	\$1,325.00
2015	5	1351	5938723	RCDC - Youth Health Works Program	05D	LMC	\$2,699.00
2015	5	1351	5949660	RCDC - Youth Health Works Program	05D	LMC	\$2,699.00
					05D	Matrix Code	\$8,048.00
2015	6	1352	5887688	Samaritan House - Case Management	05F	LMC	\$999.25
2015	6	1352	5938723	Samaritan House - Case Management	05F	LMC	\$3,379.80
2015	6	1352	5949660	Samaritan House - Case Management	05F	LMC	\$1,689.95
					05F	Matrix Code	\$6,069.00
2015	4	1350	5862584	OIC - Job Readiness	05H	LMC	\$1,555.21
2015	4	1350	5874779	OIC - Job Readiness	05H	LMC	\$1,037.44
2015	4	1350	5887688	OIC - Job Readiness	05H	LMC	\$1,200.00
2015	4	1350	5906089	OIC - Job Readiness	05H	LMC	\$1,200.00
2015	4	1350	5906206	OIC - Job Readiness	05H	LMC	\$1,200.00



Total

### Office of Community Planning and Development U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Integrated Disbursement and Information System

PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report

DATE:

TIME:

PAGE:

08-31-16

\$57,052.44

16:39

4

Program Year 2015 ANNAPOLIS , MD

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2015	4	1350	5916498	OIC - Job Readiness	05H	LMC	\$1,731.63
2015	4	1350	5920524	OIC - Job Readiness	05H	LMC	\$1,521.08
2015	4	1350	5938723	OIC - Job Readiness	05H	LMC	\$1,585.00
2015	4	1350	5949660	OIC - Job Readiness	05H	LMC	\$2,635.64
					05H	Matrix Code	\$13,666.00

### LINE 37 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 37

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2015	17	1353	5921189	General Administration	21A		\$12,493.50
2015	17	1353	5938723	General Administration	21A	_	\$9,240.00
					21A	Matrix Code	\$21,733.50
2015	16	1343	5916498	BNI - Fair Housing	21D		\$3,000.00
					21D	Matrix Code	\$3,000.00
Total						_	\$24,733.50