

June 12, 2017

## Memorandum

**To: Mayor and Aldermen**  
**From: City Employees at Gorman and City Hall**  
**Re: Ordinance O -28-17**  
**Re: EMPLOYEE CONCERNS ABOUT LOSS OF PARKING AT HILLMAN**

O-28-17 has been proposed "to improve parking availability in the downtown commercial area by relocating most City employee parking from Hillman Garage to satellite parking facilities and providing transportation to and from said satellite parking facilities." When it was introduced, a petition from 49 City employees who work in Gorman and City Hall was included who all opposed for many different reasons the legislation. The Council should be aware that some employees did not want to sign the petition because the fear of retaliation by their supervisor and/or the administration.

Approximately 50 employees attended a meeting on Thursday, June 8, 2017 in the City Council Chambers for a Q & A on the proposed legislation. Employees identified many concerns regarding the impact of the legislation. The employees welcomed the opportunity to speak directly to the City Manager and Alderman Budge to express their concerns. However, the employees were disheartened to find out at the end of the meeting that to make their voice heard they would need to attend City Council on June 12<sup>th</sup> to reiterate all the same concerns and to attend the Transportation Board meeting on June 20<sup>th</sup> also to reiterate all the same concerns. As the Council is well aware, not all City employees are able to make arrangements to attend two additional meetings as they have family or other obligations or live a great distance from the City .

Gorman Street is a rented facility for which renovations were undertaken specifically for City offices – the decision to rent Gorman Street was based in part on the availability of parking for City employees in Hillman. In section 21.66.030 of the City Code in states the following:

*C2 and C2A Districts. Off-street parking facilities are not required in the C2 or C2A districts except that uses containing twenty thousand feet or more of floor area must provide twenty parking spaces, plus one space for each additional five hundred square feet of floor area.*

The code requires that the off-site parking facilities must be located within five hundred feet walking distance of a main entrance to the use served.

**This memo represents the collective voices of many employees of the City who work in Gorman and City Hall who respectfully request that the City Council table the proposed legislation and undertake an in-depth analysis of the proposal that not only evaluates all of the impacts, but also attempts to identify the "unintended consequences". In their own words, City employees identified their questions and concerns:**

- Why has there not been a Cost Benefit Analysis based on the actual garage usage for the last 3 years?  
This would include revenue generation per space.  
Number of monthlies both restricted and unrestricted for the following categories: City employees, other business employees, residents.

What is the waiting list for monthlies broken down by categories (see above)

What is the limit under the lease contract with SP for the number of monthlies allowed?

- The SP representative stated at the employee meeting that the garage is full 1.5 days per work week. However, he was unable to answer any questions about the time period during the day that represented – it could have been 5 minutes or 5 hours. The other obvious (to all who actually park in the garage on a regular basis) flaw in the method of determining that the garage is full is that the signs say full when the reality is that there are numerous spaces available, more than the 5% stated in the recent employee meeting. The numbers presented by the parking contractor do not make sense. An accurate and believable study should be undertaken by a third party to determine the exact times that the garage is in fact full and whether being full is even an issue related to the employees.
- The statement appears to be a misrepresentation of the real facts. There are actually only a few times a year that parking is hard to find in Hillman. This is based on the actual observations of all the City employees who regularly use the garage (and not a statistic that has been manipulated to support a pre-determined objective). However, to address the issue of days when the garage was actually full, which occurs specific times during the year and is related to actual specific events (i.e. Boat Shows), a number of years ago the City employees themselves proposed parking at the other garages to make Hillman available for downtown business patrons. What has occurred is that the spaces in the garage during Boat Shows, for example, are all rented to the vendors and there are few, if any, spaces available for the short-term visitors.
- The staff paper prepared in conjunction with the legislation states:  
In 2007 the City Council declared that *“Municipal garages and lots in the City Center are primarily for customers, patrons and clients whose stay may exceed two hours.”* Annapolis City Code, Section 12.04.040.3. The City Council further declared that *“Longer term parking should be outside the City Center at satellite lots”* and that *“[t]he City should provide timely, frequent and comfortable transportation from satellite lots to the City Center.”* Based on this, **all the monthly passes** should be relocated to the other City parking garages and a marketing campaign to really assist downtown business could be developed advertising Hillman as the customer parking garage. If we're looking to causes of floundering business, blaming employee parking is certainly the easiest thing to do, but parking seems likely to be one of the least important aspects of the problem. If we are being asked to "lead by example" then let's make sure the spaces will not be sold to other businesses downtown.
- The implementation of the parking at other garages has not been fully vetted. How does this affect those neighborhoods and businesses near by the other garages?
- Additional cost for transportation will be incurred for employee transit. Has this cost been fully analyzed?
- The staff paper also states: *O-28-17 proposes to limit the number of parking passes issued to City or Contract employees who work primarily downtown to twenty (20)*. Where is the analysis of how this number was determined? At the meeting with City employees on June 8, 2017, other numbers for reserved spaces were discussed by City staff including three floater spaces per department. What about spaces for those who do inspections everyday and for those who are currently allowed to park on the first floor of the garage because they have a physical limitation? What is the rationale for allowing contract employees parking passes? A loss of productivity for the additional time to get from the building to the new parking will occur for employees that need to access their cars from the parking lots. It will not always be possible to pre-schedule the use of a floating pass. In general, the economy has been suppressed for years. People don't feel as comfortable

spending money. And the prices of most things downtown aren't conducive to other than very well-off clientele. Consider I-97 & Route 50 traffic. That alone is enough to stifle customers coming into town.

- If parking in Hillman is taken away from City employees in order to help the businesses, what guarantees the businesses won't have more of their employees parking in the garage on a daily basis? What about St. Mary's School students parking in the garage?
- There are ramifications to flexibility for using those spaces for special events and severe weather by taking the City parking spaces. During the boat shows and snow storms the City spaces are available to the local public, if those spaces are sold as monthly passes to private citizens or businesses, the spaces will not be open for special events and severe weather conditions. The City employees only use the spaces during the work week in general. This allows for the spaces to be used on weekends and holidays for visitors or shop patrons. If those spaces are sold as monthly passes to private citizens or businesses that would not be the case. Again losing flexibility and availability of spaces.
- City employees ARE "customers" of downtown businesses, many on a daily basis, *because* we work and park downtown. Not having the ability to access our vehicles expeditiously during the day means that employees are limited or unable to run personal errands, doctor/other appointments, during the day in a convenient and timelier manner.
- The Circulator is not reliable. Almost all other times when employees have parked in other garages, the Circulator is either late or non-existent. Also, there are times when employees have boarded a bus at the "pick up spot," only to later learn it is going to the stadium or some other route. This situation requires employees to literally ask the bus driver to stop the bus in order to run to their car in hopes of trying to make an appointment.
- Here is one perspective from an employee who makes frequent site visits: searching for a space in 3 satellite parking facilities, waiting for a shuttle, and riding the shuttle will severely limit my productivity. While my title is not inspector, half of my work day is spent at site visits and meetings away from the building and often far from walking distance of the office. I am also constantly carrying drawings, maps, documents, and inspection tools which would be cumbersome to carry any great distance. Site visits are often requested on short notice; therefore, hoping a temporary pass is available in the office is unfair to our customers who expect prompt service.
- And one perspective from an employee who attends night meetings: I often work until 7 or 8 at night and I attend meetings at night on a regular basis that might not end until 9 pm or later. The thought of waiting 15-20 minutes or more in the dark at night for a shuttle or walking 20 minutes in the dark to a parking garage makes me feel very uncomfortable. In fact, there are many employees like me who work later than 4:30 pm. I am unconvinced that a shuttle would run efficiently in a manner to move employees quickly from office to garage.
- When it rains heavily and/or snows, will employees still be expected to tackle the brick sidewalks (as opposed to waiting for a Circulator that never comes) to City Hall from West Street?
- For the many City employees who have children in school, the school schedules can dictate hours to a degree. If you need to pick a child up at school after games/practices by 4:30PM by taking the Circulator or by walking to the garage for your care, that makes the leave time 3:30PM instead of 4PM, causing a shorter work day.

- The legislation proposes to be in effect on July 1<sup>st</sup>. This time frame for implementation is unreasonable. A hardship to employees would be caused with such short notice for arranging for additional day care or other needs.
- The additional time needed per day on each end for this change, an hour additional for commute, is equivalent to a reduction in pay and a decrease in the quality of life for employees.
- Taking parking away from Hillman forces employees to use more of their leave and work less hours in order to accommodate appointments, etc.
- When employee morale suffers, so does productivity. With the additional commute time, employees will not have a tendency to work late.
- Parking at Hillman is part of our benefit package, as presented to us when we started working here.
- All other City offices have on-site parking for their employees. The downtown City offices should be relocated to a place where parking can be provided per the zoning code. We should look at moving to such locations as 1750 Forest Drive.
- As for employee benefits, the 1% increase, not effective until January 2018, actually equates to a 1/2% increase. Add to that the 5% increase in healthcare costs. This is the real City employee COLA.
- As this is a negative impact to employees, there are employees who will leave for other opportunities. There is a cost for new hires in both the amount of time/cost of hiring a new employees and limitations in the production of work until the new hire is fully assimilated to the job.
- Proposed parking that eliminates nearby parking spaces for 145 Gorman Street building does not meet current Code.

## Re: Parking at Hillman

1 message

Karen Steele <khsteele@annapolis.gov>  
To: Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov>

Mon, Jun 12, 2017 at 11:47 AM

Also, I beg to differ on the garage being full as much as they say. We all know their system is unreliable and it is clear that there are almost always spots open, especially up on the roof.

On Mon, Jun 12, 2017 at 10:21 AM, Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov> wrote:

Karen - here is the latest draft - please read and let me know if you have any changes and also can you show it to others in Law and City Clerks Office? Would like any additional edits by early afternoon

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator  
City of Annapolis Planning & Zoning Department  
145 Gorman Street  
Annapolis, MD 21401

410-263-7961 x7794  
jmr@annapolis.gov

On Mon, Jun 12, 2017 at 10:15 AM, Karen Steele <khsteele@annapolis.gov> wrote:

Hi Jacqui,

Just checking to make sure you received my response. I haven't heard anything from anyone on this. What's going on? What is the plan for tonight's meeting?

Thank you,  
Karen

On Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 5:48 PM, Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov> wrote:

Make sure your voice is heard! We have been asked to gather our thoughts for the public hearing Monday night.

If you oppose the City employee parking be taken away at Hillman, please send me an email stating your reasons and we will put together a petition with emails attached to distribute Monday night to the City Council.

Jacqui

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator  
City of Annapolis Planning & Zoning Department  
145 Gorman Street  
Annapolis, MD 21401

410-263-7961 x7794  
jmr@annapolis.gov

--  
Karen Steele, Legal Assistant  
City of Annapolis Office of Law  
160 Duke of Gloucester Street  
Annapolis, MD 21401  
khsteele@annapolis.gov  
(410) 263-7954, (410) 268-3916 FAX

\*May contain Privileged & Confidential Information\*

## Employee Parking at Hillman Garage

1 message

Marcia Patrick <map@annapolis.gov>  
To: Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov>

Mon, Jun 12, 2017 at 11:45 AM

Dear Jacqui,

Thank you for compiling our concerns about the proposed elimination of parking at Hillman Garage.

The proposed plan to eliminate all but 20 passes for employee parking in Hillman Garage does not seem to offer any facts in support of this proposal and there does not seem to be any cost/benefit analysis provided.

It is unclear who might benefit from moving the city employees to other garages, with the exception SP Plus. SP Plus currently seems to raise the rates to a daily fee of \$20 on the rare occasions that we are asked to park at Gotts Garage. This does not benefit the downtown businesses or residents, but does appear to directly profit SP Plus. Depending on how the contract is set up, there could be some benefit to the City as well, but it is at the expense of their most valuable asset, the employees.

I would also like to request that if the elimination of parking for employees is passed by the Mayor and City Council, that with the exception of parking for medical or disability reason allowed at Hillman Garage, there be NO PASSES FOR ANY EMPLOYEES AT ALL AT HILLMAN GARAGE. This includes all employees of all departments. It sets a bad precedent to pick and choose which employees' time is more valuable than others.

Another valuable point is that the city employees are customers of downtown businesses every month of the year. The inability of employees to easily transport packages to their personal vehicles will certainly be a detriment to downtown shopping. I really enjoy the convenience of shopping downtown, but if the convenience aspect is removed, I will shop somewhere more convenient for me, which would be the CVS in Arnold, or at a store at the Annapolis Mall or somewhere closer to my home in Severna Park.

I also worry that we will lose valuable staff time for medical appointments and other appointments that an employee with convenient parking would normally be able to run to quickly and return to work will have to take additional time to allow for walking or riding the circulator.

Again, thank you for compiling our concerns.

Marcia

Marcia Patrick, P.E.  
Assistant Director of Public Works  
City of Annapolis  
410-263-7949  
map@annapolis.gov

## Re: Parking at Hillman

1 message

Matthew Waters <mdwaters@annapolis.gov>  
To: Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov>

Mon, Jun 12, 2017 at 11:38 AM

There are many reasons why the employees should be allowed to continue to park in Hillman Garage. Some of my reasons are personal. Below are reasons why we should continue to park in Hillman:

- In the event of a personal emergency, like a child being sick, or loved one injured, one would need to rush out of the office. If parking is moved, we will have to wait for a shuttle or run up the hill to our new parking location.
- My dog, Iggy, loves to meet all the coworkers and people that come into the 145 Gorman Building. He makes some of my coworkers very happy when he is here. If I wanted to bring Iggy into the office and parking was not available at Hillman, I am not sure I would be allowed to ride a shuttle. If it is really hot or if the weather is inclement, I would not bring him in either. When it gets unbearably hot or cold, I do not take my dogs out for long walks.
- Everyone comes into the office at different times. Catching a shuttle could make it difficult to ensure that you are making it to work on time. What if the shuttle stops to pick up people walking into the office from the new parking location? It would be difficult to maintain a schedule.
- Every place I have worked at prior to here had parking on site for their employees.
- The City's Department of Public Works has people assigned to bring in snacks every day. If I were to make something at home or pick up something prior to coming into the office, the snack would need to be carried to shuttle or to the office.
- When I first started working here, I was in DNEP. We had pool vehicles parked at the Spa Road Facility. It was extremely difficult to use these cars because if you could not catch a ride with someone to go get the vehicles, you either had to walk out there, take your personal vehicle to change vehicles, or just take your personal vehicle. This was such a hassle that it wasted so much time. If the vehicles were here, it would be simple to get out into the field. If an emergency like a runoff issue or an illicit discharge, time is of the essence!

Please do not damage the City Employee's moral by taking away our parking.

Matthew Waters, PE  
Stormwater Management Engineer  
City of Annapolis  
145 Gorman Street  
Annapolis, MD 21401-2517  
410.260.2200 Ext. 7842

On Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 5:48 PM, Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov> wrote:

Make sure your voice is heard! We have been asked to gather our thoughts for the public hearing Monday night.

If you oppose the City employee parking be taken away at Hillman, please send me an email stating your reasons and we will put together a petition with emails attached to distribute Monday night to the City Council.

Jacqui

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator  
City of Annapolis Planning & Zoning Department  
145 Gorman Street  
Annapolis, MD 21401

410-263-7961 x7794  
jmr@annapolis.gov

---

## Re: Parking at Hillman

1 message

**Kristi Davis** <kdavis@annapolis.gov>  
To: Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov>

Mon, Jun 12, 2017 at 10:30 AM

I oppose the City employee parking being taken away at Hillman for various reasons. Some of which are:

- 1- Medical reasons,
- 2- time factors -getting to other job ontime, getting to doctors appts on time. etc,
- 3- running errands during lunch,
- 4- too numerous to list, but were aired Tuesday, June 8, 2017

Kristi Davis

On Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 5:48 PM, Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov> wrote:

Make sure your voice is heard! We have been asked to gather our thoughts for the public hearing Monday night.

If you oppose the City employee parking be taken away at Hillman, please send me an email stating your reasons and we will put together a petition with emails attached to distribute Monday night to the City Council.

Jacqui

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator  
City of Annapolis Planning & Zoning Department  
145 Gorman Street  
Annapolis. MD 21401

410-263-7961 x7794  
jmr@annapolis.gov

---

**Kristi S. Davis**  
**Accounting Associate**  
**Finance Department**

City of Annapolis  
160 Duke of Gloucester Street  
Annapolis Maryland, 21401  
Tel: (410) 263-7953

[website](#) | [map](#) | [email](#)





---

## Re: employee parking memo

1 message

---

**Samuel Brice** <smb@annapolis.gov>  
To: Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov>  
Cc: Kevin Scott <kscott@annapolis.gov>

Mon, Jun 12, 2017 at 9:12 AM

There are a couple of things which I would add to this.

First, to reinforce the fact that this is to "lead my example" but then having spaces that we just be sold to other businesses downtown.

The second is that if we are leading by example, the zoning code does not permit the uses within City Hall and 145 Gorman Street without the providing of parking.

Sam

Samuel McLean Brice, PE  
Chief, Bureau of Engineering and Construction  
City of Annapolis  
Department of Public Works

On Fri, Jun 9, 2017 at 4:36 PM, Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov> wrote:  
comments please

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator  
City of Annapolis Planning & Zoning Department  
145 Gorman Street  
Annapolis, MD 21401

410-263-7961 x7794  
jmr@annapolis.gov

## Re: Parking at Hillman

1 message

**Karl Roy** <kwroy@annapolis.gov>  
To: Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov>

Mon, Jun 12, 2017 at 9:04 AM

Hello,

When my father was alive he had a heart attack and rushed to the hospital. When I received the call about this I rushed out of City Hall to go to the hospital. To my dismay, it happened to be a day employees parked at Gotts Garage. Instead of easily accessing my car in Hillman Garage I got to literally run in dress shoes to Gotts. Thankfully, my father was stabilized that time but emergencies cannot be predicted nor scheduled (in reference to "floating passes"). Being allowed to park in Hillman Garage eases my mind about having to quickly get away in case there is an emergency. I am grateful to be able to park in Hillman Garage and would appreciate being allowed to continue to park in Hillman Garage.

Best,

Karl

On Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 5:48 PM, Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov> wrote:

Make sure your voice is heard! We have been asked to gather our thoughts for the public hearing Monday night.

If you oppose the City employee parking be taken away at Hillman, please send me an email stating your reasons and we will put together a petition with emails attached to distribute Monday night to the City Council.

Jacqui

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator  
City of Annapolis Planning & Zoning Department  
145 Gorman Street  
Annapolis, MD 21401

410-263-7961 x7794  
jmr@annapolis.gov



**Karl W. Roy**  
**Public Works**

City of Annapolis  
160 Duke of Gloucester Street  
Annapolis Maryland, 21401  
Tel: (410) 263-7949

[website](#) | [map](#) | [email](#)



---

## Re: Parking at Hillman

1 message

---

**Stacey Ruley** <saruley@annapolis.gov>  
To: Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov>

Mon, Jun 12, 2017 at 8:51 AM

Yes, I oppose for multiple reasons! Please refer to Kim's notes (from Finance) that she is bringing to the meeting on Monday night. They are included with many others who are also opposing this action.

Thank you!

On Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 5:48 PM, Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov> wrote:

Make sure your voice is heard! We have been asked to gather our thoughts for the public hearing Monday night.

If you oppose the City employee parking be taken away at Hillman, please send me an email stating your reasons and we will put together a petition with emails attached to distribute Monday night to the City Council.

Jacqui

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator  
City of Annapolis Planning & Zoning Department  
145 Gorman Street  
Annapolis, MD 21401

410-263-7961 x7794  
jmr@annapolis.gov



**Stacey Ruley**  
**Accounting Clerk**  
**Finance Department**

City of Annapolis  
160 Duke of Gloucester Street  
Annapolis Maryland, 21401  
Tel: (410) 263-7952

[website](#) | [map](#) | [email](#)



Thanks,  
Stacey

---

## Re: Parking at Hillman

1 message

---

Latonya Day <lcd@annapolis.gov>  
To: Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov>

Fri, Jun 9, 2017 at 4:00 PM

On Fri, Jun 9, 2017 at 3:58 PM, Latonya Day <lcd@annapolis.gov> wrote:

On Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 5:48 PM, Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov> wrote:

Make sure your voice is heard! We have been asked to gather our thoughts for the public hearing Monday night.

If you oppose the City employee parking be taken away at Hillman, please send me an email stating your reasons and we will put together a petition with emails attached to distribute Monday night to the City Council.

Jacqui

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator  
City of Annapolis Planning & Zoning Department  
145 Gorman Street  
Annapolis, MD 21401

410-263-7961 x7794  
jmr@annapolis.gov



**Parking in the garage is low due to over parking off street.doc**

26K

Parking in the garage is low due to over parking in residential off street areas. If off street was reserved for residents, handicap and visitors with passes this would give the residents what they have been asking for. Change the two hour free parking in residential areas to any one parked on downtown residential streets after 4: 30 pm must have parking stickers and display the proper hang tag, handicap or residential for example you must park at a meter or in the garage. You open up residential parking and increase revenue. Maybe you offer the garage at discounted or flat rate.

Pip Moyer has lots of room. Open up some City of Annapolis offices there. It already has enough on site parking.

The city has entered into an agreement when they hired employees to work and park in the downtown garage. It may have been a spoken word and a handshake at the end of the hiring interview. It was offered and agreed upon when the employee was hired. If we can all be sure the Hillman garage was the parking that was given by name when the person was hired. Take a poll and the out come will be 100 percent.

Remember everyone has different management styles. What happens to the employee that is late to often because of the trolley, traffic or the garage they visited that morning is already full and must drive to another one? This opens up a whole different problem of treatment and expectation among workers because no one garage will be holding a spot for the employee. People's jobs could potentially be on the line. The employee has already made it to the city on time now let's find a place to park.

The goal of the City of Annapolis is to have prosperous businesses and safe employees. The employees do not dictate prices for the businesses or who really will visit downtown. Let's keep employees safe and businesses prosperous by moving off street parkers to the garage in the evening when people really come out. Most people work and are looking for a place to park when they come home.

The free circulator shuttle will not come free to the City of Annapolis in cost. How much will this change cost example the driver and benefits, equipment, fuel and upkeep of the equipment?

The medical needs will of people who need to still park at Hillman. Who will make the parameters of qualification?

---

## Re: Parking at Hillman

1 message

Theresa Wellman <tcw@annapolis.gov>  
To: Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov>

Fri, Jun 9, 2017 at 2:16 PM

I oppose the ordinance stating that city employees will no longer have parking privileges in Hillman garage for the following reasons:

When I was employed I was told that I would have parking privileges in Hillman garage. I consider that part of my employment contract with the city. I would not have taken the job if I was told I would have to park elsewhere. The city is going back on its commitment. Also, the City Code requires that employers provide parking for their employees. Shouldn't the City follow its own code?

I am a loyal customer of many of the down town businesses and consider myself as a customer and a patron. I buy lunches and dinners, go to plays, shop for presents, bring my friends from out of town to shop. I support the down town businesses in the winter months. Being forced out of the parking garage by downtown businesses says to me they do not value my business and therefore I need not patronize their stores any more.

I realize that people do not care about the inconvenience it will cause to the city's employees since few people value public servants and the valuable services they provide. However, having employees that are disgruntled, inconvenienced and forced to work longer hours with no compensation, and having to take more time off to attend to family and health issues because it takes them 1/2 hour just to get to their car, does not lend itself to people getting excellent customer service. Nor will employees have the desire to put in extra hours or go above and beyond for a city that does not value their work.

The parking problem in the city will never be resolved because the city was not originally built for automobile traffic. Throwing 80 customers out the the parking garage will not even make a dent in generating more revenue for downtown businesses and thus foster a vibrant community. It will just cause the 80 people to take their business elsewhere and cause the city to be less effective and efficient in providing services to its customers. Plus the added expense to the city of lost work time and the cost of providing transportation to and from the satellite parking. It doesn't make sense. Has anyone done a cost benefit analysis?

I am so disappointed that the city hasn't stood up for its employees, especially to those business owners who park in the garage all day and don't leave room for their customers. I feel that the Aldermen proposing and supporting this legislation do not value their employees and the work they do for the city.

On Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 5:48 PM, Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov> wrote:

Make sure your voice is heard! We have been asked to gather our thoughts for the public hearing Monday night.

If you oppose the City employee parking be taken away at Hillman, please send me an email stating your reasons and we will put together a petition with emails attached to distribute Monday night to the City Council.

Jacqui

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator  
City of Annapolis Planning & Zoning Department  
145 Gorman Street  
Annapolis, MD 21401

410-263-7961 x7794  
jmr@annapolis.gov

---  
Theresa C. Wellman  
Community Development Administrator

---

## Re: Parking at Hillman

1 message

Paul Lackey <phl@annapolis.gov>

Fri, Jun 9, 2017 at 1:06 PM

To: Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov>

I stand by concepts that were shared by Sam, Kevin, Maria and you, as well.

If we're looking to causes of floundering business, blaming employee parking is certainly the easiest thing to do, but parking seems to me likely to be one of the least important aspects of the problem.

In general, the economy has been suppressed for years. People don't feel as comfortable spending money. And the prices of most things downtown aren't conducive to other than very well-off clientele.

Consider I-97 & Rt 50 traffic. That alone is enough to stifle customers coming into town.

As Sam discussed, the nature of product availability downtown doesn't suit volume. There's little beyond tourist fodder or food/drink available here.

It really IS interesting that if another business entity took over our offices, they'd be required to provide immediate parking access. How are we different?

Then, there's the very real pay cut we're taking, ostensibly adding ~1 hour to our workday for no additional compensation.

I'm sure others are able to come up with many more, and more significant, issues than I can think of.

On Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 5:48 PM, Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov> wrote:

Make sure your voice is heard! We have been asked to gather our thoughts for the public hearing Monday night.

If you oppose the City employee parking be taken away at Hillman, please send me an email stating your reasons and we will put together a petition with emails attached to distribute Monday night to the City Council.

Jacqui

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator  
City of Annapolis Planning & Zoning Department  
145 Gorman Street  
Annapolis, MD 21401  
410-263-7961 x7794  
jmr@annapolis.gov

Paul Lackey, Computer Draftsman  
Annapolis Department of Public Works  
410-263-7949  
Visit Annapolis online @: <http://www.annapolis.gov/>

## Re: Parking at Hillman

1 message

Roberta Laynor <rlaynor@annapolis.gov>  
To: Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov>

Fri, Jun 9, 2017 at 12:37 PM

I respectfully oppose the removal of parking privileges for City employees at the Hillman Garage for the following reasons:

1. Searching for a space in 3 satellite parking facilities, waiting for a shuttle, and riding the shuttle will severely limit my productivity. While my title is not inspector, half of my work day is spent at site visits and meetings away from the building and often far from walking distance of the office. I am also constantly carrying drawings, maps, documents, and inspection tools which would be cumbersome to carry any great distance. Site visits are often requested on short notice; therefore, hoping a temporary pass is available in the office is unfair to our customers who expect prompt service.
2. I often work until 7 or 8 at night and I attend meetings at night on a regular basis that might not end until 9 pm or later. The thought of waiting 15-20 minutes or more in the dark at night for a shuttle or walking 20 minutes in the dark to a parking garage makes me feel very uncomfortable. In fact, there are many employees like me who work later than 4:30 pm. I am unconvinced that a shuttle would run efficiently in a manner to move employees quickly from office to garage.
3. I am one of the customers that the businesses think they need. I would be happy to document the amount I spend on shopping, dining, and events. I don't think any type of economic study has been done that proves the plan to remove 80 City personnel and open up those parking spaces will benefit the businesses sufficiently to justify a decision that prompts low morale, as already evidenced in the employee meeting on June 8.
4. The numbers presented by the parking contractor do not make sense. An accurate and believable study should be undertaken by a third party to determine the exact times that the garage is in fact full and whether being full is even an issue related to the employees.
5. The employees already accommodate events by parking in the satellite facilities during event days. However, I have seen that visitors are not willing to pay the \$30 fee for event parking - the garage never seems to be full during events.
6. The reality is that employees will roam around the nearby residential streets looking for two hour spaces, then run out and move their cars several times during the day. This action will place a new burden on the residents who already have issues about parking.

Thank you for taking my issues into consideration. Moving the City employees out of the Hillman garage is a bad idea for both economics, safety, and morale.

Yours truly,  
Roberta Laynor

On Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 5:48 PM, Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov> wrote:

Make sure your voice is heard! We have been asked to gather our thoughts for the public hearing Monday night.

If you oppose the City employee parking be taken away at Hillman, please send me an email stating your reasons and we will put together a petition with emails attached to distribute Monday night to the City Council.

Jacqui

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator  
City of Annapolis Planning & Zoning Department  
145 Gorman Street  
Annapolis, MD 21401

410-263-7961 x7794



---

## Re: Parking at Hillman

1 message

Sally Nash <snash@annapolis.gov>  
To: Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov>

Fri, Jun 9, 2017 at 12:04 PM

I think these two points are important:

1. If we are moved out of the garage, it should be made into short-term parking only with no monthly passes.
2. City offices should be relocated to a place where parking can be provided per the zoning code. We should look at moving to such locations as 1750 Forest Drive.

Sally

On Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 5:48 PM, Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov> wrote:

Make sure your voice is heard! We have been asked to gather our thoughts for the public hearing Monday night.

If you oppose the City employee parking be taken away at Hillman, please send me an email stating your reasons and we will put together a petition with emails attached to distribute Monday night to the City Council.

Jacqui

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator  
City of Annapolis Planning & Zoning Department  
145 Gorman Street  
Annapolis, MD 21401

410-263-7961 x7794  
jmr@annapolis.gov

--

---

Sally Nash, Ph.D., AICP  
Chief of Comprehensive Planning  
Department of Planning and Zoning  
City of Annapolis  
145 Gorman Street, Third Floor  
Annapolis, MD 21401  
410.260.2200 ext, 7792

## Re: Parking at Hillman

1 message

Cynthia Gudenius <cjgudenius@annapolis.gov>  
To: Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov>

Fri, Jun 9, 2017 at 11:43 AM

Jacqui,

Here are my thoughts:

- The data provided stating that the garage is full 1.5 days per workweek appears to be a misrepresentation. This number does not indicate if the garage is "full" for 5 minutes or 5 hours.
- There appears to be a flaw in the method of determining that the garage is full. Often the signs say full when the reality is that there are numerous spaces available, more than the 5% stated in the recent employee meeting.
- There is a perception by the community that the employees are taking spaces from business customers, when in reality the employees are strong, frequent customers of the businesses.
- The change in parking will amount to a decrease in patronage of the surrounding stores by employees.
- The implementation of the parking at other garages has not been fully vetted. How does this effect those neighborhoods and businesses near by the other garages?
- The time frame for implementation is unreasonable. A hardship to employees would be caused with such short notice for arranging for additional day care or other needs.
- The additional time needed per day on each end for this change, an hour to two hours additional for commute, is equivalent to a reduction in pay and a decrease in the quality of life for employees.
- Having adjacent parking to the work place was a perk that was presented to many employees as an incentive for accepting job employment with the City.
- A loss of productivity for the additional time to get from the building to the new parking will occur for employees that need to access their cars from the parking lots. It will not always possible to pre-schedule the use of a floating pass.
- As this is a negative impact to employees, some staff will leave the City for other opportunities. There is a cost for new hires in both the amount of time/cost of hiring a new employees and limitations in the production of work until the new hire is fully assimilated to the job.
- There will be a loss of production due to a decrease in employee moral. With the additional commute time, employees will not have a tendency to work late.
- Additional cost for transportation will be incurred for the employee transit. Has this cost been fully analyzed? Where is the money for this in the Budget?
- Proposed parking that eliminates nearby parking spaces for 145 Gorman Street building does not meet current Code.
- There are ramifications to flexibility for using those spaces for special events and severe weather by taking the City parking spaces. During the boat shows and snow storms the City spaces are available to the local public, if those spaces are sold as monthly passes to private citizens or businesses, the spaces will not be open for special events and severe weather conditions.
- The City employees only use the spaces during the work week in general. This allows for the spaces to be used on weekends and holidays for visitors or shop patrons. If those spaces are sold as monthly passes to private citizens or businesses, that would not be the case. Again losing flexibility and availability of spaces.

Cynthia

On Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 5:48 PM, Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov> wrote:

Make sure your voice is heard! We have been asked to gather our thoughts for the public hearing Monday night.

If you oppose the City employee parking be taken away at Hillman, please send me an email stating your reasons and we will put together a petition with emails attached to distribute Monday night to the City Council.

Jacqui

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator  
City of Annapolis Planning & Zoning Department

## Re: Parking at Hillman

1 message

Jacqueline Lee <jglee@annapolis.gov>  
To: Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov>

Fri, Jun 9, 2017 at 11:08 AM

Jacque:

I'd rather not have City employee parking benefit taken away for the primary and most obvious reason:

I have a fundamental objection to having a "benefit" taken away from me after the fact.

Other objections:

1. not having the ability to access our vehicles expeditiously during the day means that employees are limited or unable to run personal errands, doctor/other appointments, during the day in a convenient and more timely manner.
2. the Circulator has been extremely unreliable on the numerous occasions employees have been relocated to other locations to make room for "tourists."
3. when it rains heavily and/or snows, will employees still be expected to tackle the brick sidewalks (as opposed to waiting for a Circulator that never comes) to City Hall from West Street?
4. removal of parking benefits will require City employees to add on an additional 20-30 minutes travel time (each way) getting to and from work.

Compromises:

1. That the legislation be prospective, applicable to employees hired after its effective date.
2. That Five (5) Hillman parking passes be available each day, in each City Hall/Gorman Street Department, for use by employees who need to access their vehicles to travel to appointments.



**Jacqueline Lee,**  
**Legislative & Policy Analyst**  
**Office of Law**

City of Annapolis  
160 Duke of Gloucester Street  
Annapolis Maryland, 21401  
Tel: (410) 263-7954

[website](#) | [map](#) | [email](#)



On Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 5:48 PM, Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov> wrote:

Make sure your voice is heard! We have been asked to gather our thoughts for the public hearing Monday night.

If you oppose the City employee parking be taken away at Hillman, please send me an email stating your reasons and we will put together a petition with emails attached to distribute Monday night to the City Council.

Jacqui

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator  
City of Annapolis Planning & Zoning Department  
145 Gorman Street  
Annapolis, MD 21401

410-263-7961 x7794  
jmr@annapolis.gov

---

**Re: Parking at Hillman**

1 message

Fri, Jun 9, 2017 at 9:20 AM

Remi Sonnevile <rsonnevile@annapolis.gov>

To: Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov>

Hi Jacqui,

In my case, as an inspector, I am frequently in and out of the office. Parking at another garage would be a waste of time and money as well as physically impossible.

Thank you for your assistance!

Remi Sonnevile  
DPW

On Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 5:48 PM, Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov> wrote:

Make sure your voice is heard! We have been asked to gather our thoughts for the public hearing Monday night.

If you oppose the City employee parking be taken away at Hillman, please send me an email stating your reasons and we will put together a petition with emails attached to distribute Monday night to the City Council.

Jacqui

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator  
City of Annapolis Planning & Zoning Department  
145 Gorman Street  
Annapolis, MD 21401

410-263-7961 x7794  
jmr@annapolis.gov

---

**Re: Parking at Hillman**

1 message

Shanta Ludwick <sludwick@annapolis.gov>  
To: Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov>

Fri, Jun 9, 2017 at 8:04 AM

Hi Jackie,

I live in Cambridge and arrive at work at 6:30 am, plus I have knee problems.

1. During winter is will be dark when I arrive in the morning
2. Will the bus/shuttle be there when I arrive?
3. It's is difficult walking up and down the stair at the moment - if the shuttle is not available early in the morning I would have to walk putting stress on my knees.
4. I am also a senior.

Thanks,  
Shanta

Shanta D Ludwick  
Annapolis FMO  
145 Gorman Street, 3rd Floor  
Annapolis, MD 21401  
410-260-2202

On Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 5:48 PM, Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov> wrote:  
Make sure your voice is heard! We have been asked to gather our thoughts for the public hearing Monday night.

If you oppose the City employee parking be taken away at Hillman, please send me an email stating your reasons and we will put together a petition with emails attached to distribute Monday night to the City Council.

Jacqui

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator  
City of Annapolis Planning & Zoning Department  
145 Gorman Street  
Annapolis, MD 21401

410-263-7961 x7794  
jmr@annapolis.gov

---

## Re: Parking at Hillman

1 message

---

Mary Emrick <mee@annapolis.gov>

Fri, Jun 9, 2017 at 8:02 AM

To: Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov>

I believe the employees should not loose their parking at Hillman parking garage. The merchants have failed to realize that the employees also shop at the businesses and eat downtown during lunch time throughout the year, this revenue that they could potentially loose.

The parking is a like an incentive for employees coming to work for the city, not that offering us parking further away from our offices isn't an incentive but having to wait for the shuttle isn't always the most convenient. The time spent waiting for shuttle both coming and going daily adds to more time for employees commute.

There's a handful of times that parking is hard to find in the garage at Hillman but we're usually sent to the other garages during these times. It comes down to more revenue for the parking system which is all it comes down to which the city isn't even getting all that revenue.

On Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 5:48 PM Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov> wrote:

Make sure your voice is heard! We have been asked to gather our thoughts for the public hearing Monday night.

If you oppose the City employee parking be taken away at Hillman, please send me an email stating your reasons and we will put together a petition with emails attached to distribute Monday night to the City Council.

Jacqui

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator  
City of Annapolis Planning & Zoning Department  
145 Gorman Street  
Annapolis, MD 21401

410-263-7961 x7794  
jmr@annapolis.gov

## Re: Parking at Hillman

1 message

Karen Steele <khsteele@annapolis.gov>  
To: Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov>

Fri, Jun 9, 2017 at 7:55 AM

Thank you, Jacqui, for organizing this and for your voice in yesterday's meeting. This really upsets me. Following are some points, many of which have already been shared:

1. This is part of our benefit package, as presented to us when we started working here.
2. All other City offices have on-site parking for their employees.
3. We are all "customers" of downtown businesses, many on a daily basis, **because** we work and park downtown. (I, personally, don't think I would drive downtown to go shopping, to CVS, or out to lunch unless I was already down here.)
4. Many of us have doctor, dentist, etc. appointments to which we need to attend during the day. These cannot be done after hours and, if parking in Hillman is removed, would cause us to take additional time (at least an hour of leave) to get there/back, thereby reducing our both productivity time and benefits.
5. Frequently, I come in early; others choose to come in late and stay late, but that should not give them preference to park in the garage.
6. The Circulator is a joke. Almost all other times when we have parked in other garages, the Circulator is either late or non-existent. Also, I am sure I'm not the only one who has boarded a bus as the "pick up spot," only to later learn it is going to the stadium or some other route. I have, literally, had to ask the bus to stop so that I can get out and run to my car in hopes of trying to make an appointment.
7. If my hours are 8AM-4PM, does the administration support them becoming 8:30AM-3:30PM?? That's what they will become if I have to park elsewhere. Employee work time will be decreased.
8. School schedules dictate my hours to a degree. I need to pick my child up at school after games/practices by 4:30PM. If I need to take the Circulator or walk to a garage to get my car, that makes my leave time 3:30PM instead of 4PM, causing a shorter work day.
9. Taking parking away from Hillman forces employees to use more of their leave and work less hours in order to accommodate appointments, etc.
10. As for employee benefits, the 1% increase, not effective until January 2018, actually equates to a 1/2% increase. Add to that the 5% increase in healthcare costs. So much for a COLA.
11. Some employees have resisted signing the petition because of fear or retaliation by their supervisor and/or the mayor's administration.
12. If parking in Hillman is taken away from City employees in order to help the businesses, what guarantees the businesses won't have more of their employees parking in the garage on a daily basis?
13. What about St. Mary's students parking in the garage? That should not be allowed. I see it on a daily basis.
14. I have health issues that sometimes make walking difficult, for which I've seen several orthopaedic doctors. I don't want to be forced to the point where I need to get a handicap tag to park in Hillman, increasing awareness of a physical condition that I would prefer to keep private.
15. I, personally, am very offended that the aldermen/administration wish to "lead by example" by taking away something that is very important to me and that was promised to me when I was offered the job 16+ years ago. Clearly, it is an election year. I don't think any of them are in the same situation, having to attend to appointments, children, etc. while working. Being a working parent is not easy and, taking away our parking in Hillman would make it much more difficult for many of us.

These are just some of the reasons why taking parking in the Hillman Garage away from City employees is a terrible idea.

Thank you,  
Karen

On Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 5:48 PM, Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov> wrote:

Make sure your voice is heard! We have been asked to gather our thoughts for the public hearing Monday night.

If you oppose the City employee parking be taken away at Hillman, please send me an email stating your reasons and we will put together a petition with emails attached to distribute Monday night to the City Council.

Jacqui

## Re: Parking at Hillman

1 message

Betsey McKeown <efmckeown@annapolis.gov>  
To: Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov>

Mon, Jun 12, 2017 at 12:54 PM

Jacqui,

Thanks for doing this! Here are my thoughts:

- I find this proposition to be anti-family. As a mom of 2 young children, I need the ability to get to them quickly if needed and I try to minimize my commute time so that I can spend more time with my kids/family.
- I have found this proposal to impact productivity because it has upset employees and I think productivity will continue to be impacted because a lot of staff members use personal cars for work reasons.
- There are very few City pool cars for use. Many times the only way I can get to an off-site location is to use my own car. I will often do field work at the beginning of the day or the end of the day. If my vehicle is parked far away, this will impact my ability to get to my office quickly after doing the field work. If this legislation is approved, the City will have to purchase more pool cars.
- I am fairly new to employment with the City, but having nearby parking was part of the appeal of this job. If this is taken away, I think it impacts the overall benefits package.
- I'd like to see more data to support the findings, specifically durations for "full" in hours, not days and for the whole year, not an average.
- If parking is taken away, I will be less likely to dine and support the businesses in the downtown area because I will ask to reduce my lunch hour to 30 minutes to accommodate my extra commuting time and to limit the impact on daycare costs.
- If passed, a plan for emergencies needs to be developed. For example, three weeks ago, my daycare called and told me that my child was unresponsive and the ambulance was on the way. Thankfully, I was able to get to the daycare quickly enough so that I could get in the ambulance with my daughter. Other cities provide vouchers.
- In general, this legislation feels rushed and I'd like to see a more thorough analysis, including a cost-benefit analysis.
- How much are 2 circulator shuttles and drivers are going to cost?
- I'm a city resident and I'm concerned about how much this proposal is going to cost the City.
- Please provide a clear explanation of who gets to remain at Hillman.
  - I've heard inspectors may get to continue to park at Hillman. If inspectors have a City car, please further explain this.
  - Explain how you envision the three floater pass per department actually working. I don't think Department heads should be in charge of prioritizing who gets a floating parking pass, but then would this task be assigned to someone else? It seems this could lead to preferential treatment.
  - A lot of other employees perform inspections/field visits but are not inspectors.
- Please provide a better explanation of the reason behind the introduction of this legislation.
- Will the circulator have a schedule and gps tracking so that we will be able to plan our commutes accordingly?
- If parking is taken away, I will not have as much flexibility with what I can do with my lunch hour, such as go to appointments, etc. I will have to take more leave time to accommodate for this.

Thanks!  
Betsey

On Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 5:48 PM, Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov> wrote:  
Make sure your voice is heard! We have been asked to gather our thoughts for the public hearing Monday night.

If you oppose the City employee parking be taken away at Hillman, please send me an email stating your reasons and we will put together a petition with emails attached to distribute Monday night to the City Council.

Jacqui

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator



## Re: Parking at Hillman

1 message

Thora Burkhardt <tkburkhardt@annapolis.gov>  
To: Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov>

Mon, Jun 12, 2017 at 1:53 PM

I would like to be included if it is not too late.

*Thora K. Burkhardt, P.E., Water/Sewer Program Manager*

Department of Public Works, City of Annapolis, 145 Gorman Street, 2nd Floor, Annapolis MD 21401

Phone: 410-263-7949 | Fax: 410-263-3322

tkburkhardt@annapolis.gov

This e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is intended for use by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain legally privileged and /or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is strictly prohibited. If you receive this e-mail in error, please notify me immediately at the e-mail address above or telephone number and permanently delete the original and any copy of this e-mail and any printout thereof.

On Mon, Jun 12, 2017 at 1:52 PM, Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov> wrote:

Thora - Are you presenting this your self or do you wish to be included with those who have sent me emails?

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator  
City of Annapolis Planning & Zoning Department  
145 Gorman Street  
Annapolis, MD 21401

410-263-7961 x7794

jmr@annapolis.gov

On Mon, Jun 12, 2017 at 1:34 PM, Thora Burkhardt <tkburkhardt@annapolis.gov> wrote:

To Mayor and Aldermen,

As an engineer and more importantly a dedicated public servant, I am expected to thoroughly analyze the use of the City taxpayer's dollars for projects. The process typically required is essentially a "business case" and includes analysis of both probability and consequence of failure and the resulting cost/benefit.

In order for the City taxpayers and businesses to gain the most benefit from any changes to parking policy at Hillman Garage, a thorough analysis of the business case should be expected. From what has been presented to date, the probability of failure (a potential customer of an Annapolis business leaves downtown after encountering a "Full" sign at Hillman on a weekday) has not been fully studied. There are many questions to be considered to assess the probability of failure, including:

- What period of time is the garage "full" on an average workday?
- Would most customers seek another option and continue with their intended business?
- How many potential customers are actually encountering a "full" sign?

Similarly, from what has been presented to date, the primary consequence considered has been the loss in revenue for businesses by customers that encounter a "Full" sign at Hillman and leave

downtown. However, there are several other potential unintentional consequences to consider if the Ordinance is implemented, such as:

- reduced patronage to businesses due to less time availability
- impacts to employee productivity
- additional employee child care and other costs impacting \$ spent downtown
- loss of dedicated & hardworking employees who rely on the garage parking
- more difficulty in attracting new employees without nearby parking

I do not personally object to a well thought out change in parking policy that impacts my parking at Hillman garage. However, I do expect the same level of cost/benefit study that is required of City employees to make sure that the policy is in the best interest of the taxpayers and businesses of Annapolis.

Sincerely,

*Thora K. Burkhardt, P.E., Water/Sewer Program Manager*

Department of Public Works, City of Annapolis, 145 Gorman Street, 2nd Floor, Annapolis MD 21401

Phone: 410-263-7949 | Fax: 410-263-3322

tkburkhardt@annapolis.gov

This e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is intended for use by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain legally privileged and /or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is strictly prohibited. If you receive this e-mail in error, please notify me immediately at the e-mail address above or telephone number and permanently delete the original and any copy of this e-mail and any printout thereof.



Jacquelyn Rouse &lt;jmr@annapolis.gov&gt;

---

**Re: Parking at Hillman**

1 message

---

**Peter Veres** <pnveres@annapolis.gov>  
To: Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov>

Mon, Jun 12, 2017 at 4:03 PM

Hi Jacqui,

Here's my thoughts:

With employee morale already low in a number of cases, this proposal is like a kick in the face. One can't help feeling undervalued as the attempt is made to use employees for political gain.

There are multiple problems with disallowing employees from using Hillman garage. But before I list some of them, I take issue with the assessment of the current conditions regarding garage use.

On June 8, 2017, at 1:05 p.m., the full signs were on for the lower and upper levels of Hillman garage.

Many times in the past, I've wondered why the full signs were lit while there were still many empty spaces in the garage. This time I counted the empty spaces.

Level 4 (Roof)  
27 empty spaces

Level 3  
10 empty spaces

Level 2  
12 empty spaces

Lower level  
12 empty spaces

I did not count the empty spaces with orange cones in them.

Why was the garage designated as full when there were 61 empty spaces? Prior experience and actual data from my count strongly suggest that the numbers from the garage administration cannot be trusted, and therefore the council does not have accurate information to consider when making a decision.

Also, saying the garage was full on any given day has little meaning. Full for how long? One minute? Eight hours? There's a huge difference.

Why is HR asking for our parking pass numbers? Sounds like another indicator of poor garage management by SP+. They should already have that information.

How many City employees frequent businesses on a daily basis spending money there? Are businesses really losing by having employee patrons every day, rain or shine, with the garage being truly full only on occasion?

Below are some effects of disallowing parking at Hillman garage.

- All time low in employee morale.
- Employees using their own cars for City business will cease. Employees in many positions need to have this mobility. This will adversely affect productivity.
- Employees that will have to wait for public transportation when needing to go from one location to another on official business will waste much time waiting for it.
- Employees coming in early or staying late to finish work will greatly diminish, especially in the winter months. No one wants to walk to/from a distant garage, nor stand on the street waiting for public transportation, in the dark. This will decrease extra productivity which in many cases is already being taken for granted.
- In cases of employees not feeling very well when considering taking sick leave, when thinking of the walk to and from a distant garage, without their car nearby to leave in a hurry in case of illness, it will influence them to stay home.
- The sidewalks to and from distant garages are already in deplorable shape, increasing the risk for falling and injury.
- Employees attempting to walk to and from a distant garage, are more at risk of injury during inclement weather.
- Some employees will quit, while others will retire, taking their knowledge and experience with them.

I'm sure I could come up with more ill effects of disallowing parking at Hillman. The bottom line is that morale will suffer, productivity will suffer, risk of injury will increase, good people will leave.

Pete Veres

On Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 5:48 PM, Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov> wrote:

Make sure your voice is heard! We have been asked to gather our thoughts for the public hearing Monday night.

If you oppose the City employee parking be taken away at Hillman, please send me an email stating your reasons and we will put together a petition with emails attached to distribute Monday night to the City Council.

Jacqui

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator  
City of Annapolis Planning & Zoning Department  
145 Gorman Street  
Annapolis, MD 21401

410-263-7961 x7794  
jmr@annapolis.gov

## Re: Parking at Hillman

1 message

Hollis Minor <hgminor@annapolis.gov>  
To: Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov>

Mon, Jun 12, 2017 at 4:26 PM

Well written. Looks like it covers just about every issue I've heard. Thanks you.

Best regards,  
Hollis G. Minor  
Economic Development Manager  
Department of Planning and Zoning  
City of Annapolis  
145 Gorman Street, 3rd Floor  
Annapolis, MD 21401  
410-263-7961, ext. 7770  
HGMinor@annapolis.gov

On Mon, Jun 12, 2017 at 4:12 PM, Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov> wrote:

To All:

thank you for your emails - the memo on parking represents the collective voice of all those who contributed. It will be distributed tonight to the City Council with copies of all of the emails.

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator  
City of Annapolis Planning & Zoning Department  
145 Gorman Street  
Annapolis. MD 21401

410-263-7961 x7794  
jmr@annapolis.gov

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jacquelyn Rouse** <jmr@annapolis.gov>  
Date: Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 5:48 PM  
Subject: Parking at Hillman  
To: all-gorman@annapolis.gov, all-cityhall@annapolis.gov

Make sure your voice is heard! We have been asked to gather our thoughts for the public hearing Monday night.

If you oppose the City employee parking be taken away at Hillman, please send me an email stating your reasons and we will put together a petition with emails attached to distribute Monday night to the City Council.

Jacqui

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator  
City of Annapolis Planning & Zoning Department  
145 Gorman Street  
Annapolis. MD 21401

410-263-7961 x7794  
jmr@annapolis.gov

## Re: Parking at Hillman

1 message

Sheri Anderson <saanderson@annapolis.gov>  
To: Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov>

Mon, Jun 12, 2017 at 5:06 PM

Thank you for using your gifts and talents! .

On Jun 12, 2017 4:33 PM, "Jacquelyn Rouse" <jmr@annapolis.gov> wrote:  
Sheri - its ok its all printed and copied

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator  
City of Annapolis Planning & Zoning Department  
145 Gorman Street  
Annapolis. MD 21401

410-263-7961 x7794  
jmr@annapolis.gov

On Mon, Jun 12, 2017 at 4:25 PM, Sheri Anderson <saanderson@annapolis.gov> wrote:  
Hey, Jacqui,

I think I saw my comments in your memo. Do you need an email?

On Mon, Jun 12, 2017 at 4:12 PM, Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov> wrote:  
To All:

thank you for your emails - the memo on parking represents the collective voice of all those who contributed. It will be distributed tonight to the City Council with copies of all of the emails.

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator  
City of Annapolis Planning & Zoning Department  
145 Gorman Street  
Annapolis. MD 21401

410-263-7961 x7794  
jmr@annapolis.gov

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jacquelyn Rouse** <jmr@annapolis.gov>  
Date: Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 5:48 PM  
Subject: Parking at Hillman  
To: all-gorman@annapolis.gov, all-cityhall@annapolis.gov

Make sure your voice is heard! We have been asked to gather our thoughts for the public hearing Monday night.

If you oppose the City employee parking be taken away at Hillman, please send me an email stating your reasons and we will put together a petition with emails attached to distribute Monday night to the City Council.

Jacqui

Jacquelyn M. Rouse, AICP  
Planning Administrator  
City of Annapolis Planning & Zoning Department  
145 Gorman Street  
Annapolis. MD 21401

--  
Sheri



**Sheri Anderson**  
Accounting Associate III  
MIT

161 Duke of Gloucester Street  
Annapolis MD 21401  
tel: 410.263.7945

[website](#) | [map](#) | [email](#)



**Notice of Confidentiality:**

This e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is intended only for use by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is strictly prohibited. If you receive this e-mail in error, please notify me immediately at the above e-mail address or telephone number and permanently delete the original and any copy of any e-mail and any printout thereof.

*♻ Save paper, trees, and money: Please print this email only if necessary*

# Hillman Garage

1 message

Barbara Smith <bis@annapolis.gov>

Mon, Jun 12, 2017 at 5:45 PM

To: Jacquelyn Rouse <jmr@annapolis.gov>, Kevin Scott <kcscott@annapolis.gov>, Maria Brown <mrb@annapolis.gov>, Samuel Brice <smb@annapolis.gov>

Thank you for speaking at the meeting on Thursday. Some of us are much better at speaking in a public forum than others. Thank you for speaking out.

I believe during the meeting they stated the number of spaces (all levels) in the garage was 450. I'm unclear if it is considered full when the garage is at 95%(22 spaces available) or 90%(45 spaces available). Come to think of it - since there is no access from the first floor to the other floors wouldn't they need to have different limits for the 1st floor and the 2nd-3rd-4th floors combined? Does their software do that?

Multiple employees have said that when they are downtown on a weekend and the sign is reading full they are still able to pull a ticket. How is that possible?

Below is a message from Pete Veres - he walked the garage at 1:05 on Thursday June 8th. At that time both the lower and upper levels were reading as "Full", yet he found 61 spaces available. How is that possible?

Regardless of where employees wind up parking further study should be done at Hillman. Something isn't right that the signs say full and there are over 60 available spaces.

Thank you for speaking on our behalf.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Peter Veres** <pnveres@annapolis.gov>

Date: Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 2:28 PM

Subject: Re: Meeting regarding Ordinance 28-17 Employee Parking relocated from Hillman Garage

To: Barbara Smith <bis@annapolis.gov>

Cc: All-MIT <All-MIT@annapolis.gov>

Today at 1:05 p.m., the full signs were on for the lower and upper levels of Hillman garage.

Level 4 (Roof)  
27 empty spaces

Level 3  
10 empty spaces

Level 2  
12 empty spaces

Lower level  
12 empty spaces

Total empty spaces: 61

I did not count the empty spaces with orange cones in them.

Barbara I. Smith  
Acting Manager, Office of Management Information Technology  
City of Annapolis  
160 Duke of Gloucester St